Entropy decreasing in resonant contact discontinuities

Nicolas Seguin

Laboratoire J.-L. Lions, UPMC Paris 6, France

HYP 2012, Padova

Two hyperbolic systems to couple through an interface x = 0:

$\partial_t u + \partial_x f_L(u) = 0$	$\partial_t u + \partial_x f_{\boldsymbol{R}}(u) = 0$
x < 0 $x =$	$= 0 \qquad x > 0$

Jump relations at the interface

Conservative case: Nonconservative case: $f_L(u(t,0^-)) = f_R(u(t,0^+))$ $f_L(u(t,0^-)) \neq f_R(u(t,0^+))$

Two hyperbolic systems to couple through an interface x = 0:

$\partial_t u + \partial_x \boldsymbol{f_L}(u) = 0$	∂_t	$u + \partial_x f_R(u) = 0$
x < 0	x = 0	x > 0

Jump relations at the interface

Conservative case: $f_L(u(t, 0^-)) = f_R(u(t, 0^+))$ Nonconservative case: $f_L(u(t, 0^-)) \neq f_R(u(t, 0^+))$

Classical case (Kruzhkov, Lax...):

 $f_L \equiv f_r$ and entropy inequality at x = 0

Two hyperbolic systems to couple through an interface x = 0:

$\partial_t u + \partial_x f_L(u) = 0$	ć	$\partial_t u + \partial_x f_R(u) = 0$
x < 0	x = 0	x > 0

Jump relations at the interface

Conservative case: Nonconservative case:

$$f_L(u(t,0^-)) = f_R(u(t,0^+))$$

$$f_L(u(t,0^-)) \neq f_R(u(t,0^+))$$

Saturation in a porous medium with a discontinuous permeability k:

$$f_L(u) = k_L f(u)$$
 and $f_R(u) = k_R f(u)$

Two hyperbolic systems to couple through an interface x = 0:

$\partial_t u + \partial_x \boldsymbol{f_L}(u) = 0$	∂_t	$u + \partial_x f_R(u) = 0$
x < 0	x = 0	x > 0

Jump relations at the interface

Conservative case: Nonconservative case:

$$f_L(u(t, 0^-)) = f_R(u(t, 0^+))$$

$$f_L(u(t, 0^-)) \neq f_R(u(t, 0^+))$$

Traffic flow with a tollgate:

 $f_L(u) = f_r(u)$ but with $f_L(u(t, 0^-)) = f_R(u(t, 0^+)) \leq F(t)$

Two hyperbolic systems to couple through an interface x = 0:

$\partial_t u + \partial_x \boldsymbol{f_L}(u) = 0$	∂_t	$u + \partial_x f_R(u) = 0$
x < 0	x = 0	x > 0

Jump relations at the interface

Conservative case: f_L Nonconservative case: f_L

$$\begin{array}{l} f_L(u(t,0^-)) = f_R(u(t,0^+)) \\ f_L(u(t,0^-)) \neq f_R(u(t,0^+)) \end{array}$$

Shallow-water equations with a discontinuous bathymetry:

$$\partial_t \begin{bmatrix} h\\ hu \end{bmatrix} + \partial_x \begin{bmatrix} hu\\ hu^2 + gh^2/2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ -gh(a_R - a_L)\delta_0(x) \end{bmatrix}$$

Two hyperbolic systems to couple through an interface x = 0:

$\partial_t u + \partial_x f_L(u) = 0$	$\partial_t u +$	$\partial_x f_R(u) = 0$
x < 0	x = 0	x > 0

Jump relations at the interface

Conservative case: Nonconservative case:

$$\begin{array}{l} f_L(u(t,0^-)) = f_R(u(t,0^+)) \\ f_L(u(t,0^-)) \neq f_R(u(t,0^+)) \end{array}$$

Gas dynamics in a discontinuous nozzle:

$$\partial_t \begin{bmatrix} \rho \\ \rho u \end{bmatrix} + \partial_x \begin{bmatrix} \rho u \\ \rho u^2 + P(\rho) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\rho u \frac{S_R - S_L}{(1 - H(x))S_L + H(x)S_R} \delta_0(x) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Two hyperbolic systems to couple through an interface x = 0:

$\partial_t u + \partial_x f_L(u) = 0$		$\partial_t u + \partial_x \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{R}}(u) = 0$
x < 0	x = 0	x > 0

Jump relations at the interface

Conservative case: $f_L(u(t, 0^-)) = f_R(u(t, 0^+))$ Nonconservative case: $f_L(u(t, 0^-)) \neq f_R(u(t, 0^+))$

Gas dynamics in a pipe with a grid (laminar friction):

$$\partial_t \begin{bmatrix} \rho \\ \rho u \end{bmatrix} + \partial_x \begin{bmatrix} \rho u \\ \rho u^2 + P(\rho) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -\lambda \rho u \delta_0(x) \end{bmatrix}$$

Two hyperbolic systems to couple through an interface x = 0:

$\partial_t u + \partial_x f_L(u) = 0$		$\partial_t u + \partial_x \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{R}}(u) = 0$
x < 0	x = 0	x > 0

Jump relations at the interface

Conservative case: $f_L(u(t, 0^-)) = f_R(u(t, 0^+))$ Nonconservative case: $f_L(u(t, 0^-)) \neq f_R(u(t, 0^+))$

Gas dynamics in a pipe with a grid (turbulent friction):

$$\partial_t \begin{bmatrix} \rho \\ \rho u \end{bmatrix} + \partial_x \begin{bmatrix} \rho u \\ \rho u^2 + P(\rho) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -\lambda \rho u | u | \boldsymbol{\delta_0}(\boldsymbol{x}) \end{bmatrix}$$

Two hyperbolic systems to couple through an interface x = 0:

$\partial_t u + \partial_x f_L(u) = 0$	$\partial_t u + \partial_x f_R(u)$	= 0
x < 0	x = 0 $x > 0$	

Jump relations at the interface

Conservative case: Nonconservative case:

$$f_L(u(t, 0^-)) = f_R(u(t, 0^+))$$

$$f_L(u(t, 0^-)) \neq f_R(u(t, 0^+))$$

and many other examples...

General forms invovling a discontinuity

The conservative case

Equivalent form:

 $\partial_t u + \partial_x f(u, x) = 0$ $t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}$

with $f(u,x) = (1 - \mathbf{H}(x))f_L(u) + \mathbf{H}(x)f_R(u)$ (H Heaviside function)

The nonconservative case

Equivalent form:

 $\partial_t u + \partial_x f(u, x) + g(u) \,\delta_0(x) = 0 \qquad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}$

with $f(u, x) = (1 - \mathbf{H}(x))f_L(u) + \mathbf{H}(x)f_R(u)$ (**H** Heaviside function) and g(u) to encode the nonconservative contribution of the interface

General forms invovling a discontinuity

The conservative case

Equivalent form:

 $\partial_t u + \partial_x f(u, x) = 0$ $t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}$

with $f(u, x) = (1 - \mathbf{H}(x))f_L(u) + \mathbf{H}(x)f_R(u)$ (**H** Heaviside function)

Use of an additional "unknown"

Equivalent form:

$$\partial_t u + \partial_x f(u, \alpha) = 0$$

 $\partial_t \alpha = 0$
 $\alpha(0, x) = \mathbf{H}(x)$

with $f(u, \alpha) = (1 - \alpha)f_L(u) + \alpha f_R(u)$

General forms invovling a discontinuity

The nonconservative case

Equivalent form:

 $\partial_t u + \partial_x f(u, x) + g(u) \, \delta_0(x) = 0 \qquad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}$

with $f(u, x) = (1 - \mathbf{H}(x))f_L(u) + \mathbf{H}(x)f_R(u)$ (**H** Heaviside function) and g(u) to encode the nonconservative contribution of the interface

Use of an additional "unknown"

Equivalent form:

$$\partial_t u + \partial_x f(u, \alpha) + g(u) \partial_x \alpha = 0$$

 $\partial_t \alpha = 0$
 $\alpha(0, x) = \mathbf{H}(x)$

with $f(u, \alpha) = (1 - \alpha)f_L(u) + \alpha f_R(u)$

The conservative case

$$\partial_t \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \partial_u f(u, \alpha) & \partial_\alpha f(u, \alpha) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \partial_x \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The nonconservative case

$$\partial_t \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \partial_u f(u, \alpha) & \partial_\alpha f(u, \alpha) + g(u) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \partial_x \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- The system admits an additional eigenvalue: 0 (standing wave)
- Since this eigenvalue is constant, it characteristic field is linearly degenerate
- BUT some eigenvalues of $\partial_u f(u, \alpha)$ may vanish

 \rightsquigarrow interaction of waves with the standing wave

superposition of a shock wave on the interface wave

The conservative case

$$\partial_t \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \partial_u f(u, \alpha) & \partial_{\alpha} f(u, \alpha) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \partial_x \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The nonconservative case

$$\partial_t \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \partial_u f(u, \alpha) & \partial_\alpha f(u, \alpha) + g(u) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \partial_x \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

In most of cases, $\partial_u f(u, \alpha)$ is \mathbb{R} -diagonalizable But in general, there is resonance, i.e. $\mathcal{R} := \{\bar{u} \text{ s.t. } 0 \in \operatorname{sp}[\partial_u f(\bar{u}, \alpha)]\} \neq \emptyset$:

The conservative case

$$\partial_t \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \partial_u f(u, \alpha) & \partial_{\alpha} f(u, \alpha) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \partial_x \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The nonconservative case

$$\partial_t \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \partial_u f(u, \alpha) & \partial_\alpha f(u, \alpha) + g(u) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \partial_x \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

In most of cases, $\partial_u f(u, \alpha)$ is \mathbb{R} -diagonalizable But in general, there is resonance, i.e. $\mathcal{R} := \{\bar{u} \text{ s.t. } 0 \in \operatorname{sp}[\partial_u f(\bar{u}, \alpha)]\} \neq \emptyset$:

- Coalescence of eigenvalues (loss of strict hyperbolicity)
- What about the associated eigenvectors?

Temple, Liu, Keyfitz, Shearer, LeFloch, Chen, Glimm, Isaacson, Marchesin...

The conservative case

$$\partial_t \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \partial_u f(u, \alpha) & \partial_{\alpha} f(u, \alpha) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \partial_x \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The nonconservative case

$$\partial_t \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \partial_u f(u, \alpha) & \partial_\alpha f(u, \alpha) + g(u) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \partial_x \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

In most of cases, $\partial_u f(u, \alpha)$ is \mathbb{R} -diagonalizable But in general, there is resonance, i.e. $\mathcal{R} := \{\bar{u} \text{ s.t. } 0 \in \operatorname{sp}[\partial_u f(\bar{u}, \alpha)]\} \neq \emptyset$:

• Conservative case: 0 double eigenvalue

Either $\mathcal{R} \subset \operatorname{Ker}(\partial_{\alpha} f(\cdot, \alpha)) \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \text{still } \mathbb{R}\text{-diagonalizable}$ Or $\mathcal{R} \not\subset \operatorname{Ker}(\partial_{\alpha} f(\cdot, \alpha)) \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \text{Jordan block}$

Rankine-Hugoniot relations still valid, but entropy conditions to be defined

The conservative case

$$\partial_t \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \partial_u f(u, \alpha) & \partial_{\alpha} f(u, \alpha) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \partial_x \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The nonconservative case

$$\partial_t \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \partial_u f(u, \alpha) & \partial_\alpha f(u, \alpha) + g(u) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \partial_x \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

In most of cases, $\partial_u f(u, \alpha)$ is \mathbb{R} -diagonalizable But in general, there is resonance, i.e. $\mathcal{R} := \{\bar{u} \text{ s.t. } 0 \in \operatorname{sp}[\partial_u f(\bar{u}, \alpha)]\} \neq \emptyset$:

• Nonconservative case: 0 double eigenvalue

- $\begin{array}{lll} \mathsf{Either} \ \mathcal{R} \subset \mathrm{Ker}(\partial_{\alpha}f(\cdot,\alpha) + g) & \rightsquigarrow & \mathsf{still} \ \mathbb{R} \text{-diagonalizable} \end{array} \end{array}$
 - **Or** $\mathcal{R} \not\subset \operatorname{Ker}(\partial_{\alpha} f(\cdot, \alpha) + g) \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \text{Jordan block}$

No Rankine-Hugoniot jump relations available (neither entropy condition)

The conservative case

$$\partial_t \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \partial_u f(u, \alpha) & \partial_{\alpha} f(u, \alpha) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \partial_x \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The nonconservative case

$$\partial_t \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \partial_u f(u, \alpha) & \partial_\alpha f(u, \alpha) + g(u) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \partial_x \begin{bmatrix} u \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

In most of cases, $\partial_u f(u, \alpha)$ is \mathbb{R} -diagonalizable The nonconservative and non-resonant case, i.e.

 $\mathcal{R} := \{ \bar{u} \text{ s.t. } 0 \in \operatorname{sp}[\partial_u f(\bar{u}, \alpha)] \} = \emptyset,$

has been extensively study by Gosse and co-workers

Examples

The scalar case

- The conservative case [Andreianov, Karlsen, Risebro '11]... Tollgate in traffic flow
- The nonconservative case
 - R-diagonalizable case: Burgers equation with pointwise friction
 - Resonant case: [Isaacson, Temple '95] $\rightsquigarrow \exists 1 \text{ to } 3 \text{ solutions to the RP}$

The system case

- The conservative case: [Isaacson, Temple '92]...
 Gas dynamics with pointwise linear friction [Aguillon '12]
- The nonconservative case
 - \mathbb{R} -diagonalizable case ($\mathcal{R} \subset \text{Ker}(\partial_{\alpha}f(\cdot, \alpha) + g)$): probably meaningless...
 - Resonant case: gas dynamics in a nozzle, shallow water equations with bathymetry... [Chen, Glimm '95], [Goatin, LeFloch '04]...

The conservative case

 $\partial_t u + \partial_x f(u, \alpha) = 0$ $\alpha(t, x) = \mathbf{H}(x)$

The Rankine-Hugoniot jump relations are valid:

 $f(u(t, 0^{-}), 0) = f(u(t, 0^{+}), 1)$

Entropy condition at x = 0?

- $\partial_{\alpha} f \equiv 0$ and classical condition (Lax, Oleinik, Kruzhkov, Liu...): \rightarrow recover the classical entropy solution
- $f(\cdot, 0) \not\equiv f(\cdot, 1)$: need to provide an adapted entropy condition Risebro, Karlsen, Adimurthi, Mishra, Gowda, S., Vovelle, Andreianov, Bachmann, Audusse, Perthame...
- $\partial_{\alpha} f \equiv 0$ but with "pointwise effects" Ex.: Traffic flow with a tollgate or thin layer in a porous medium

The conservative case

 $\partial_t u + \partial_x f(u, \alpha) = 0$ $\alpha(t, x) = \mathbf{H}(x)$

The Rankine-Hugoniot jump relations are valid:

 $f(u(t, 0^{-}), 0) = f(u(t, 0^{+}), 1)$

Entropy condition at x = 0?

- $\partial_{\alpha} f \equiv 0$ and classical condition (Lax, Oleinik, Kruzhkov, Liu...): \rightarrow recover the classical entropy solution
- $f(\cdot, 0) \not\equiv f(\cdot, 1)$: need to provide an adapted entropy condition Risebro, Karlsen, Adimurthi, Mishra, Gowda, S., Vovelle, Andreianov, Bachmann, Audusse, Perthame...
- $\partial_{\alpha} f \equiv 0$ but with "pointwise effects" Ex.: Traffic flow with a tollgate or thin layer in a porous medium

The conservative case

 $\partial_t u + \partial_x f(u, \alpha) = 0$ $\alpha(t, x) = \mathbf{H}(x)$

The Rankine-Hugoniot jump relations are valid:

 $f(u(t, 0^{-}), 0) = f(u(t, 0^{+}), 1)$

Entropy condition at x = 0?

- $\partial_{\alpha} f \equiv 0$ and classical condition (Lax, Oleinik, Kruzhkov, Liu...): \rightarrow recover the classical entropy solution
- $f(\cdot, 0) \neq f(\cdot, 1)$: need to provide an adapted entropy condition Risebro, Karlsen, Adimurthi, Mishra, Gowda, S., Vovelle, Andreianov, Bachmann, Audusse, Perthame...
- $\partial_{\alpha} f \equiv 0$ but with "pointwise effects" Ex.: Traffic flow with a tollgate or thin layer in a porous medium

The conservative case

 $\partial_t u + \partial_x f(u, \alpha) = 0$ $\alpha(t, x) = \mathbf{H}(x)$

The Rankine-Hugoniot jump relations are valid:

 $f(u(t, 0^{-}), 0) = f(u(t, 0^{+}), 1)$

Entropy condition at x = 0?

- $\partial_{\alpha} f \equiv 0$ and classical condition (Lax, Oleinik, Kruzhkov, Liu...): \rightarrow recover the classical entropy solution
- $f(\cdot, 0) \neq f(\cdot, 1)$: need to provide an adapted entropy condition Risebro, Karlsen, Adimurthi, Mishra, Gowda, S., Vovelle, Andreianov, Bachmann, Audusse, Perthame...
- $\partial_{\alpha} f \equiv 0$ but with "pointwise effects" Ex.: Traffic flow with a tollgate or thin layer in a porous medium

The conservative case

 $\partial_t u + \partial_x f(u, \alpha) = 0$ $\alpha(t, x) = \mathbf{H}(x)$

The Rankine-Hugoniot jump relations are valid:

 $f(u(t, 0^{-}), 0) = f(u(t, 0^{+}), 1)$

Entropy condition at x = 0?

- $\partial_{\alpha} f \equiv 0$ and classical condition (Lax, Oleinik, Kruzhkov, Liu...): \rightarrow recover the classical entropy solution
- $f(\cdot, 0) \not\equiv f(\cdot, 1)$: need to provide an adapted entropy condition Risebro, Karlsen, Adimurthi, Mishra, Gowda, S., Vovelle, Andreianov, Bachmann, Audusse, Perthame...
- $\partial_{\alpha} f \equiv 0$ but with "pointwise effects" Ex.: Traffic flow with a tollgate or thin layer in a porous medium

The nonconservative case

NC)
$$\partial_t u + \partial_x f(u, \alpha) + g(u)\partial_x \alpha = 0$$

 $\alpha(t, x) = \mathbf{H}(x)$

The standing wave corresponds to a LD field if there is no resonance

Proposition (jump relations by Riemann invariants)

If there is no resonance and if smooth solutions of (NC) satisfy an additional conservation law

 $\partial_t w(u,\alpha) + \partial_x \varphi(u,\alpha) = 0,$

then weak solutions of (NC) satisfy $\varphi(u(t, 0^+), 1) = \varphi(u(t, 0^-), 0)$.

No need of defining nonconservative products using additional informations [DalMaso, LeFloch, Murat '95], [LeFloch, Tzavaras '99] What happens when resonance occurs?

The nonconservative case

VC)
$$\partial_t u + \partial_x f(u, \alpha) + g(u)\partial_x \alpha = 0$$

 $\alpha(t, x) = \mathbf{H}(x)$

The standing wave corresponds to a LD field if there is no resonance

What happens when resonance occurs

- The above jump relation fails: $\varphi(u(t,0^+),1) \neq \varphi(u(t,0^-),0)$
- A shock wave can be superposed on the standing wave
- Additional informations must be used:
 - Definition as the limit of regularization processes Diffusion [Sainsaulieu '96], kinetic relations [Abeyaratne, Knowles '91]...
 - The inner structure must be studied: [Isaacson, Temple '95], [Dalmaso, LeFloch, Murat '95], [Lefloch, Tzavaras '99]...

A ROUGH review of the theory of conservation laws

Analysis and approximation of the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \partial_x f(u) = 0\\ u_{|t=0} = u_0 \end{cases}$$

Definition ([Kruzhkov '70])

A function $u \in \mathbf{L}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R})$ is a weak entropy solution if $\forall \kappa \in \mathbb{R}$

 $\partial_t |u - \kappa| + \partial_x \Phi(u, \kappa) \leq 0 \quad (\text{in } \mathscr{D}')$

where $\Phi(a, b) = \operatorname{sgn}(a - b)(f(a) - f(b)).$

- Constant κ are solutions as soon as $u_0 = \kappa$
- Entropies $|u \kappa|$ measure the dissipation with respect to constant solutions

A ROUGH review of the theory of conservation laws

Analysis and approximation of the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \partial_x f(u) = 0\\ u_{|t=0} = u_0 \end{cases}$$

Theorem ([Kruzhkov '70])

The weak entropy solution is unique.

• Comparison of two solutions u and \hat{u} (Kato inequality)

 $\partial_t |u - \hat{u}| + \partial_x \Phi(u, \hat{u}) \leq 0$

• Continuous dependence can be deduced

(Existence can be proved by convergence of approximate solutions.)

A ROUGH review of the theory of conservation laws

Analysis and approximation of the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \partial_x f(u) = 0\\ u_{|t=0} = u_0 \end{cases}$$

Monotone numerical schemes ([Crandall, Majda '80])

$$u_i^{n+1} = u_i^n - \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} (g_{i+1/2}^n - g_{i-1/2}^n)$$

where $g_{i+1/2}^n = g(u_i^n, u_{i+1}^n)$ such that $g(\nearrow, \searrow)$, g(a, a) = f(a) + CFL condition

- The numerical scheme preserves constant solutions
- u_i^{n+1} is a non-decreasing function of u_{i-1}^n , u_i^n and u_{i+1}^n

Theorem ([Crandall, Majda '80])

A monotone numerical scheme converges to the weak entropy solution.

The Cauchy problem with a contribution at x = 0

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Conservation laws for } x \neq 0 & \mbox{Coupling conditions at } x = 0 \\ \partial_t u + \partial_x f(u) = 0 & x < 0 \\ \partial_t u + \partial_x f(u) = 0 & x > 0 & (u(t, 0^-), u(t, 0^+)) \in \mathcal{G} \\ u_{|t=0} = u_0 & x \in \mathbb{R} \end{array}$

The germ $\mathcal{G} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is the set of admissible traces Let us define $\kappa(x) = \begin{cases} \kappa_L & \text{if } x < 0\\ \kappa_R & \text{if } x > 0 \end{cases}$ where $(\kappa_L, \kappa_R) \in \mathcal{G}$

Proposition

If $u_0(x) = \kappa(x)$ then $u(t, x) = \kappa(x)$ is a solution.

(The germ depends on the problem under study.)

The Cauchy problem with a contribution at x = 0Conservation laws for $x \neq 0$ Coupling conditions at x = 0 $\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \partial_x f(u) = 0 & x < 0 \\ \partial_t u + \partial_x f(u) = 0 & x > 0 \\ u_{|t=0} = u_0 & x \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases}$ $(u(t, 0^-), u(t, 0^+)) \in \mathcal{G}$

Definition ([Baiti, Jenssen '97] [Audusse, Perthame '05]) A function $u \in \mathbf{L}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R})$ is a weak entropy solution if $\forall \kappa(x)$ defined as above $\partial_t |u - \kappa(x)| + \partial_x \Phi(u, \kappa(x)) \leq 0 \quad (\text{in } \mathscr{D}')$

- Functions κ are solutions as soon as $u_0 = \kappa$
- Entropies $|u \kappa(x)|$ measure the dissipation with respect to solutions $\kappa(x)$

Theory in [Andreianov, Karlsen, Risebro '11]: a subset $\mathcal{G}_0 \subset \mathcal{G}$ can be sufficient

The Cauchy problem with a contribution at x = 0

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Conservation laws for } x \neq 0 \\ \begin{cases} \partial_t u + \partial_x f(u) = 0 & x < 0 \\ \partial_t u + \partial_x f(u) = 0 & x > 0 \\ u_{|t=0} = u_0 & x \in \mathbb{R} \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{l} \text{Coupling conditions at } x = 0 \\ (u(t, 0^-), u(t, 0^+)) \in \mathcal{G} \end{cases}$

Theorem

If the germ is dissipative: $\forall (u_-, u_+), (\hat{u}_-, \hat{u}_+) \in \mathcal{G}$

 $\Phi(u_+, \hat{u}_+) - \Phi(u_-, \hat{u}_-) \leqslant 0$

then the weak entropy solution is unique.

- Dissipativity of \mathcal{G} : comparison of two solutions u and \hat{u} (Kato inequality) $\partial_t |u - \hat{u}| + \partial_x \Phi(u, \hat{u}) \leq 0$
- Lipshitz-continuous dependence can be deduced

The Cauchy problem with a contribution at x = 0

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Conservation laws for } x \neq 0 & \mbox{Coupling conditions at } x = 0 \\ \\ \partial_t u + \partial_x f(u) = 0 & x < 0 \\ \partial_t u + \partial_x f(u) = 0 & x > 0 & (u(t,0^-), u(t,0^+)) \in \mathcal{G} \\ u_{|t=0} = u_0 & x \in \mathbb{R} \end{array}$

Design of adapted numerical schemes (well-balanced schemes for \mathcal{G}_0) $u_i^{n+1} = u_i^n - \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} (g_{i+1/2}^n - g_{i-1/2}^n)$

where $g_{i+1/2}^n = g(u_i^n, u_{i+1}^n)$ except at the interface $x_{1/2} = 0$

• The numerical scheme must preserve solutions $\kappa(x)$ with $(\kappa_L, \kappa_R) \in \mathcal{G}_0$ • u_i^{n+1} must be a non-decreasing function of u_{i-1}^n , u_i^n and u_{i+1}^n

An monotone adapted numerical scheme converges to the weak entropy solution.

Conservation law with a constrained flux

The formal problem

 $\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \partial_x f(u) = 0 & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R} \\ f(u(t, 0^-)) = f(u(t, 0^+)) \leqslant F & t > 0 \end{cases}$ where f(a) = a(1-a) and $F \leqslant \max_{a \in [0,1]} f(a)$

How to contruct solutions to this problem?

- Break the classical entropy condition at x = 0 $(u(t, 0^{-}) \leq u(t, 0^{+}))$
- $u_{|x\neq0}$ is a classical entropy solution
- $u(t,0^-)$ is an admissible boundary trace for the left-hand half-problem
- $u(t,0^+)$ is an admissible boundary trace for the right-hand half-problem • constraint: $f(u(t,0^-)) = f(u(t,0^+)) \leqslant F$

Such solutions do not enter in the Kruzhkov frame. . . But we have well-posedness !

Conservation law with a constrained flux

The formal problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \partial_x f(u) = 0 & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R} \\ f(u(t, 0^-)) = f(u(t, 0^+)) \leqslant F & t > 0 \end{cases}$$

where f(a) = a(1-a) and $F \leq \max_{a \in [0,1]} f(a)$

How to contruct solutions to this problem?

- Break the classical entropy condition at x = 0 ($u(t, 0^-) \leq u(t, 0^+)$)
- $u_{|x\neq0}$ is a classical entropy solution

• $u(t,0^-)$ is an admissible boundary trace for the left-hand half-problem

• $u(t,0^+)$ is an admissible boundary trace for the right-hand half-problem • constraint: $f(u(t,0^-)) = f(u(t,0^+)) \leqslant F$

Such solutions do not enter in the Kruzhkov frame. . . But we have well-posedness !
Conservation law with a constrained flux

The formal problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \partial_x f(u) = 0 & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R} \\ f(u(t, 0^-)) = f(u(t, 0^+)) \leqslant F & t > 0 \end{cases}$$

where f(a) = a(1-a) and $F \leq \max_{a \in [0,1]} f(a)$

How to contruct solutions to this problem?

- Break the classical entropy condition at x = 0 ($u(t, 0^-) \leq u(t, 0^+)$)
- $u_{|x\neq0}$ is a classical entropy solution

• $u(t,0^-)$ is an admissible boundary trace for the left-hand half-problem

• $u(t,0^+)$ is an admissible boundary trace for the right-hand half-problem • constraint: $f(u(t,0^-)) = f(u(t,0^+)) \leqslant F$

Such solutions do not enter in the Kruzhkov frame... But we have well-posedness !

Conservation law with a constrained flux

The formal problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \partial_x f(u) = 0 & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R} \\ f(u(t, 0^-)) = f(u(t, 0^+)) \leqslant F & t > 0 \end{cases}$$

where f(a) = a(1-a) and $F \leq \max_{a \in [0,1]} f(a)$

[Colombo, Goatin '07] [Andreianov, Goatin, S. '10] [Cancès, S. '12]

• Construct the set of admissible traces $(u(t, 0^-), u(t, 0^+))$:

 $\mathcal{G} = \{ (a,b) \mid f(a) = f(b) = F, a > b \} \\ \cup \{ (a,a) \mid f(a) \leq F \} \cup \{ (a,b) \mid f(a) = f(b) \leq F, a < b \}$

• Dissipative property:

 $\forall (u_-, u_+), (\hat{u}_-, \hat{u}_+) \in \mathcal{G} \qquad \Phi(u_+, \hat{u}_+) - \Phi(u_-, \hat{u}_-) \leqslant 0$

 \implies Uniqueness + Lipshitz-continuous dependence

Conservation law with a constrained flux

The formal problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \partial_x f(u) = 0 & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R} \\ f(u(t, 0^-)) = f(u(t, 0^+)) \leqslant F & t > 0 \end{cases}$$

where f(a) = a(1-a) and $F \leq \max_{a \in [0,1]} f(a)$

[Colombo, Goatin '07] [Andreianov, Goatin, S. '10] [Cancès, S. '12]

A sufficient dissipative germ

 $\mathcal{G}_0 = \{(a,b) \mid f(a) = f(b) = F, a > b\} \cup \{(a,a) \mid f(a) \leq F\}$

- Adapted numerical schemes At the interface $x_{1/2} = 0$, take the numerical flux $g_{1/2}^n = \min(g(u_0^n, u_1^n), F)$
 - It exactly preserves solutions of \mathcal{G}_0
 - The constrained numerical scheme is monotone (CFL condition)
 - If g is the Godunov numerical flux, $\mathbf{L}^{\infty} \cap \mathrm{BV}$ bounds

\implies Strong convergence + Existence + Error estimates



















































B. Andreianov, P. Goatin, N. Seguin. Finite volume schemes for locally constrained conservation laws. Numerische Mathematik.



B. Andreianov, P. Goatin, N. Seguin. Finite volume schemes for locally constrained conservation laws. Numerische Mathematik.



B. Andreianov, P. Goatin, N. Seguin. Finite volume schemes for locally constrained conservation laws. Numerische Mathematik.



B. Andreianov, P. Goatin, N. Seguin. Finite volume schemes for locally constrained conservation laws. Numerische Mathematik.









B. Andreianov, P. Goatin, N. Seguin. Finite volume schemes for locally constrained conservation laws. Numerische Mathematik.


































B. Andreianov, P. Goatin, N. Seguin. Finite volume schemes for locally constrained conservation laws. Numerische Mathematik.



B. Andreianov, P. Goatin, N. Seguin. Finite volume schemes for locally constrained conservation laws. Numerische Mathematik.



B. Andreianov, P. Goatin, N. Seguin. Finite volume schemes for locally constrained conservation laws. Numerische Mathematik.



B. Andreianov, P. Goatin, N. Seguin. Finite volume schemes for locally constrained conservation laws. Numerische Mathematik.



B. Andreianov, P. Goatin, N. Seguin. Finite volume schemes for locally constrained conservation laws. Numerische Mathematik.



B. Andreianov, P. Goatin, N. Seguin. Finite volume schemes for locally constrained conservation laws. Numerische Mathematik.









B. Andreianov, P. Goatin, N. Seguin. Finite volume schemes for locally constrained conservation laws. Numerische Mathematik.



B. Andreianov, P. Goatin, N. Seguin. Finite volume schemes for locally constrained conservation laws. Numerische Mathematik.



B. Andreianov, P. Goatin, N. Seguin. Finite volume schemes for locally constrained conservation laws. Numerische Mathematik.































B. Andreianov, P. Goatin, N. Seguin. Finite volume schemes for locally constrained conservation laws. Numerische Mathematik.



B. Andreianov, P. Goatin, N. Seguin. Finite volume schemes for locally constrained conservation laws. Numerische Mathematik.



B. Andreianov, P. Goatin, N. Seguin. Finite volume schemes for locally constrained conservation laws. Numerische Mathematik.



B. Andreianov, P. Goatin, N. Seguin. Finite volume schemes for locally constrained conservation laws. Numerische Mathematik.



B. Andreianov, P. Goatin, N. Seguin. Finite volume schemes for locally constrained conservation laws. Numerische Mathematik.








B. Andreianov, P. Goatin, N. Seguin. Finite volume schemes for locally constrained conservation laws. Numerische Mathematik.



B. Andreianov, P. Goatin, N. Seguin. Finite volume schemes for locally constrained conservation laws. Numerische Mathematik.



















B. Andreianov, P. Goatin, N. Seguin. Finite volume schemes for locally constrained conservation laws. Numerische Mathematik.



B. Andreianov, P. Goatin, N. Seguin. Finite volume schemes for locally constrained conservation laws. Numerische Mathematik.



B. Andreianov, P. Goatin, N. Seguin. Finite volume schemes for locally constrained conservation laws. Numerische Mathematik.



B. Andreianov, P. Goatin, N. Seguin. Finite volume schemes for locally constrained conservation laws. Numerische Mathematik.



B. Andreianov, P. Goatin, N. Seguin. Finite volume schemes for locally constrained conservation laws. Numerische Mathematik.



B. Andreianov, P. Goatin, N. Seguin. Finite volume schemes for locally constrained conservation laws. Numerische Mathematik.



B. Andreianov, P. Goatin, N. Seguin. Finite volume schemes for locally constrained conservation laws. Numerische Mathematik.



B. Andreianov, P. Goatin, N. Seguin. Finite volume schemes for locally constrained conservation laws. Numerische Mathematik.



B. Andreianov, P. Goatin, N. Seguin. Finite volume schemes for locally constrained conservation laws. Numerische Mathematik.



B. Andreianov, P. Goatin, N. Seguin. Finite volume schemes for locally constrained conservation laws. Numerische Mathematik.



B. Andreianov, P. Goatin, N. Seguin. Finite volume schemes for locally constrained conservation laws. Numerische Mathematik.



B. Andreianov, P. Goatin, N. Seguin. Finite volume schemes for locally constrained conservation laws. Numerische Mathematik.



B. Andreianov, P. Goatin, N. Seguin. Finite volume schemes for locally constrained conservation laws. Numerische Mathematik.



B. Andreianov, P. Goatin, N. Seguin. Finite volume schemes for locally constrained conservation laws. Numerische Mathematik.



B. Andreianov, P. Goatin, N. Seguin. Finite volume schemes for locally constrained conservation laws. Numerische Mathematik.



B. Andreianov, P. Goatin, N. Seguin. Finite volume schemes for locally constrained conservation laws. Numerische Mathematik.



B. Andreianov, P. Goatin, N. Seguin. Finite volume schemes for locally constrained conservation laws. Numerische Mathematik.



B. Andreianov, P. Goatin, N. Seguin. Finite volume schemes for locally constrained conservation laws. Numerische Mathematik.



B. Andreianov, P. Goatin, N. Seguin. Finite volume schemes for locally constrained conservation laws. Numerische Mathematik.



B. Andreianov, P. Goatin, N. Seguin. Finite volume schemes for locally constrained conservation laws. Numerische Mathematik.



B. Andreianov, P. Goatin, N. Seguin. Finite volume schemes for locally constrained conservation laws. Numerische Mathematik.



B. Andreianov, P. Goatin, N. Seguin. Finite volume schemes for locally constrained conservation laws. Numerische Mathematik.



B. Andreianov, P. Goatin, N. Seguin. Finite volume schemes for locally constrained conservation laws. Numerische Mathematik.



B. Andreianov, P. Goatin, N. Seguin. Finite volume schemes for locally constrained conservation laws. Numerische Mathematik.

The formal problem

$$\partial_t u + \partial_x (u^2/2) + \lambda u \partial_x \alpha = 0$$

 $\alpha(t, x) = \mathbf{H}(x)$

where $\lambda>0$ is the drag coefficient

Regularization of the interface

$$\partial_t u + \partial_x (u^2/2) + \lambda u \partial_x \alpha = 0$$

 $\alpha(t, x) = \mathbf{H}_{\varepsilon}(x)$

where H is replaced by $\mathbf{H}_{\varepsilon} \in \mathscr{C}^1(\mathbb{R})$, a nondecreasing function such that

$$\forall |x| \ge \varepsilon \quad \mathbf{H}_{\varepsilon}(x) = \mathbf{H}(x)$$



We first seek stationary solutions U(x) for $|x| \ge \varepsilon$

Nicolas Seguin (LJLL, UPMC)

Find all the pairs $(\kappa_-,\kappa_+)\in\mathbb{R}^2$ such that it exists U verifying

$$\begin{cases} (U^2/2)'(x) + \lambda \ U(x)\mathbf{H}'_{\varepsilon}(x) = 0, & x \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \\ U(-\varepsilon) = \kappa_{-} \\ U(\varepsilon) = \kappa_{+} \end{cases}$$

in the entropy weak sense

Smooth parts: (*) becomes U(x)(U + λH_ε)'(x) = 0
Either U(x) = 0 Or U(x) + λH_ε(x) = Cst
Shock waves at x₀ ∈ (-ε,ε): (U(x₀⁻) + U(x₀⁺))/2 = 0 and U(x₀⁻) > U(x₀⁺)

 (\star)

Find all the pairs $(\kappa_-,\kappa_+)\in\mathbb{R}^2$ such that it exists U verifying

$$\begin{cases} (U^2/2)'(x) + \lambda U(x)\mathbf{H}'_{\varepsilon}(x) = 0, & x \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \\ U(-\varepsilon) = \kappa_{-} \\ U(\varepsilon) = \kappa_{+} \end{cases}$$

in the entropy weak sense

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{The set } \mathcal{G}_{\lambda} \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ of admissible pairs is the union } \mathcal{G}_{\lambda} = \mathcal{G}_{\lambda}^1 \cup \mathcal{G}_{\lambda}^2 \cup \mathcal{G}_{\lambda}^3, \text{ where} \\ \bullet \ \mathcal{G}_{\lambda}^1 = \{(a, a - \lambda), a \in \mathbb{R}\}. \\ \bullet \ \mathcal{G}_{\lambda}^2 = [0, \lambda] \times [-\lambda, 0] \\ \bullet \ \mathcal{G}_{\lambda}^3 = \{(a, b) \in (\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^-) \setminus \mathcal{G}_{\lambda}^2, \ -\lambda \leqslant a + b \leqslant \lambda\} \\ \end{array}$ (with shock wave) (with shock wave)

 (\star)

Proposition (Stability of the definition of the "nonconservative product") For all nondecreasing $\mathbf{H}_{\varepsilon} \in \mathscr{C}^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\mathbf{H}_{\varepsilon}(x) = \mathbf{H}(x)$ if $|x| > \varepsilon$, it exists a weak entropy U to

$$\begin{cases} (U^2/2)'(x) + \lambda U(x)\mathbf{H}'_{\varepsilon}(x) = 0\\ U(-\varepsilon) = \kappa_{-}\\ U(\varepsilon) = \kappa_{+} \end{cases}$$

if and only if $(\kappa_-, \kappa_+) \in \mathcal{G}_{\lambda}$.

- The germ \mathcal{G}_{λ} is dissipative \implies Uniqueness + Lipshitz-continuous dependence
- Construction of monotone numerical schemes preserving \mathcal{G}^1_{λ} \implies Strong convergence + Existence


[Lagoutière, Seguin, Takahashi '08], [Andreianov, Lagoutière, Seguin, Takahashi '10] See also [Borsche, Colombo, Gravello '10 & '12]



[Lagoutière, Seguin, Takahashi '08], [Andreianov, Lagoutière, Seguin, Takahashi '10] See also [Borsche, Colombo, Gravello '10 & '12]



[Lagoutière, Seguin, Takahashi '08], [Andreianov, Lagoutière, Seguin, Takahashi '10] See also [Borsche, Colombo, Gravello '10 & '12]



[Lagoutière, Seguin, Takahashi '08], [Andreianov, Lagoutière, Seguin, Takahashi '10] See also [Borsche, Colombo, Gravello '10 & '12]



[Lagoutière, Seguin, Takahashi '08], [Andreianov, Lagoutière, Seguin, Takahashi '10] See also [Borsche, Colombo, Gravello '10 & '12]



[Lagoutière, Seguin, Takahashi '08], [Andreianov, Lagoutière, Seguin, Takahashi '10] See also [Borsche, Colombo, Gravello '10 & '12]



[Lagoutière, Seguin, Takahashi '08], [Andreianov, Lagoutière, Seguin, Takahashi '10] See also [Borsche, Colombo, Gravello '10 & '12]



[Lagoutière, Seguin, Takahashi '08], [Andreianov, Lagoutière, Seguin, Takahashi '10] See also [Borsche, Colombo, Gravello '10 & '12]



[Lagoutière, Seguin, Takahashi '08], [Andreianov, Lagoutière, Seguin, Takahashi '10] See also [Borsche, Colombo, Gravello '10 & '12]



[Lagoutière, Seguin, Takahashi '08], [Andreianov, Lagoutière, Seguin, Takahashi '10] See also [Borsche, Colombo, Gravello '10 & '12]



[Lagoutière, Seguin, Takahashi '08], [Andreianov, Lagoutière, Seguin, Takahashi '10] See also [Borsche, Colombo, Gravello '10 & '12]

Burgers equation with pointwise friction

The formal problem

$$\partial_t u + \partial_x (u^2/2) + \lambda u |u|^{\beta} \partial_x \alpha = 0$$

 $\alpha(t, x) = \mathbf{H}(x)$

with $\beta \in [0,1]$

• Same theory (existence, uniqueness, convergence of adapted schemes)

Burgers equation with pointwise friction

The formal problem

$$\partial_t u + \partial_x (u^2/2) + \lambda u |u|^{\beta} \partial_x \alpha = 0$$

 $\alpha(t, x) = \mathbf{H}(x)$

with $\beta \in [0,1]$

• Same theory (existence, uniqueness, convergence of adapted schemes) • BUT, if $\lambda < 0$

- the case $\beta = 0$ is ill-posed (non-uniqueness)
- the case $\beta = 1$ is well-posed (L¹-contraction becomes Lipschitz-continuous semi-group)

Conclusion

- Classical theory of Kruzhkov can be adapted in some scalar cases
 - conservative equations
 - nonconvervative equations in some cases
 - if not, no chance to select a "good" solution (continuous dependence fails)
- Systems of singular balance laws
 - · Mainly, available results only on the Riemann problem
 - Well-posedness in the conservative case
 - Extension of the notion of dissipative germs to systems?