



Multi-Resolution Methods for Quantifying Uncertainties in Geophysical Applications

R. Bürger, I.Kröker, W. Nowak and C. Rohde

University of Stuttgart · Institute of Applied Analysis and Numerical Simulation



14. International Conference on Hyperbolic Problems: Theory, Numerics, Applications Università di Padova, 25. June 2012





Outline

- Motivation
- Stochastic Multi-Resolution approach (SMR)
- Clarifier-thickener problem with random feed in one space dimension
- Random perturbed quarter five-spot problem in two space dimensions
- Conclusion and remarks





Governing Equation

Find the unknown $u : D \times [0,T) \times \Omega \rightarrow [0,1]$

$$\begin{cases} u_t + \operatorname{div} f(\mathbf{x}, t, u, \omega) + q(\mathbf{x}, \omega) = 0 & \text{in } D \times (0, T) \times \Omega, \\ u(\mathbf{x}, 0) = u_0(\mathbf{x}) & \text{in } D. \end{cases}$$

Here are $D\subset \mathbb{R}^d,~d=1,2,~T>0,~(\Omega,P)$ a probability space, f nonlinear flux function, q-source term.

Stochastic discretization methods

- Monte-Carlo (MC): to high computational effort
- Polynomial-Chaos: R. Abgrall, B. Despres.
- Stochastic-Elements: J. Troyen, A. Ern.





Stochastic Multi-Resolution Approach





Let $\xi = \xi(\omega)$ be a random variable. Assume $\xi \sim \mathcal{U}(0,1).$ Define

 $\phi_{i,l}^{N_{\rm r}}(\xi) = 2^{N_{\rm r}/2} \phi_i(2^{N_{\rm r}}\xi - l), \quad i = 0, \dots, N_{\rm o}, \quad l = 0, \dots, 2^{N_{\rm r}} - 1.$

Here ϕ_i is the *i*-th Legendre polynomial.





Let $\xi = \xi(\omega)$ be a random variable. Assume $\xi \sim \mathcal{U}(0, 1)$. Define

$$\phi_{i,l}^{N_{\rm r}}(\xi) = 2^{N_{\rm r}/2} \phi_i(2^{N_{\rm r}}\xi - l), \quad i = 0, \dots, N_{\rm o}, \quad l = 0, \dots, 2^{N_{\rm r}} - 1.$$

Here ϕ_i is the *i*-th Legendre polynomial.

The polynomials $\phi_{0,0}^{N_{\rm r}},\ldots,\phi_{N_{\rm o},2^{N_{\rm r}}-1}^{N_{\rm r}}$ satisfy

$$\left\langle \phi_{i,k}^{N_{\rm r}}, \, \phi_{j,l}^{N_{\rm r}} \right\rangle = \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl}.$$

and their support is $\mathrm{Supp}(\phi_{i,k}^{N_\mathrm{r}}) = [2^{-N_\mathrm{r}}k, 2^{-N_\mathrm{r}}(k+1)].$





Let $\xi = \xi(\omega)$ be a random variable. Assume $\xi \sim \mathcal{U}(0, 1)$. Define

$$\phi_{i,l}^{N_{\rm r}}(\xi) = 2^{N_{\rm r}/2} \phi_i(2^{N_{\rm r}}\xi - l), \quad i = 0, \dots, N_{\rm o}, \quad l = 0, \dots, 2^{N_{\rm r}} - 1.$$

Here ϕ_i is the *i*-th Legendre polynomial.

The polynomials $\phi_{0,0}^{N_{\rm r}},\ldots,\phi_{N_{\rm o},2^{N_{\rm r}}-1}^{N_{\rm r}}$ satisfy

$$\left\langle \phi_{i,k}^{N_{\rm r}}, \, \phi_{j,l}^{N_{\rm r}} \right\rangle = \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl}.$$

and their support is $\mathrm{Supp}(\phi_{i,k}^{N_\mathrm{r}}) = [2^{-N_\mathrm{r}}k, 2^{-N_\mathrm{r}}(k+1)].$

The projection of a random field $w(\mathbf{x},t,\cdot)\in L^2(\Omega)$ is defined by

$$\begin{split} \Pi^{N_{\rm o},N_{\rm r}}\left[w\right]({\bf x},t,\xi) &:= \sum_{l=0}^{2^{N_{\rm r}}-1} \sum_{i=0}^{N_{\rm o}} w_{i,l}^{N_{\rm r}}({\bf x},t) \phi_{i,l}^{N_{\rm r}}(\xi) \\ & w_{i,l}^{N_{\rm r}}({\bf x},t) := \left\langle w({\bf x},t,\cdot), \, \phi_{i,l}^{N_{\rm r}} \right\rangle. \end{split}$$



-



Stochastic Galerkin approach

For
$$N_{
m r},N_{
m o}\in\mathbb{N}$$
 find $u_0^{N_{
m r}},\ldots,u_P^{N_{
m r}}:D imes(0,T) o\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(\partial_t \Pi^{N_{\mathrm{o}},N_{\mathrm{r}}} \left[u \right] (\mathbf{x},t,\omega) + \operatorname{div} f \left(\mathbf{x},t,\Pi^{N_{\mathrm{o}},N_{\mathrm{r}}} \left[u \right],\omega \right) \right) \times \phi_{\alpha}^{N_{\mathrm{r}}} \, dP(\omega) = 0$$

hold for all $\alpha = 0, \dots, P$. Here

• $\alpha = (i, l)$ for $i = 0, \dots, N_{\rm o}$, $l = 0, \dots, 2^{N_{\rm r}} - 1$.

•
$$P = (N_{\rm o} + 1)2^{N_{\rm r}} - 1.$$





The orthogonality of $\phi_{\alpha}^{N_{\mathrm{T}}}$ leads to the $(P+1)\text{-dimensional partially decoupled system$

$$\begin{split} \partial_{t} u_{0}^{N_{\mathrm{r}}}(\mathbf{x},t) + \mathrm{div}\,\left\langle f\left(\mathbf{x},t,\Pi^{N_{\mathrm{o}},N_{\mathrm{r}}}\left[u\right]\right),\,\phi_{0}^{N_{\mathrm{r}}}\right\rangle &= 0\\ &\vdots\\ \partial_{t} u_{P}^{N_{\mathrm{r}}}(\mathbf{x},t) + \mathrm{div}\,\left\langle f\left(\mathbf{x},t,\Pi^{N_{\mathrm{o}},N_{\mathrm{r}}}\left[u\right]\right),\,\phi_{P}^{N_{\mathrm{r}}}\right\rangle &= 0 \end{split}$$

Note:

- Remains (Weak-) hyperbolic
- Coupled in PC
- Decoupled in MR





The orthogonality of $\phi_{\alpha}^{N_{\mathrm{T}}}$ leads to the $(P+1)\text{-dimensional partially decoupled system$

$$\begin{split} \partial_{t} u_{0}^{N_{\mathrm{r}}}(\mathbf{x},t) + \mathrm{div}\,\left\langle f\left(\mathbf{x},t,\Pi^{N_{\mathrm{o}},N_{\mathrm{r}}}\left[u\right]\right),\,\phi_{0}^{N_{\mathrm{r}}}\right\rangle &= 0\\ &\vdots\\ \partial_{t} u_{P}^{N_{\mathrm{r}}}(\mathbf{x},t) + \mathrm{div}\,\left\langle f\left(\mathbf{x},t,\Pi^{N_{\mathrm{o}},N_{\mathrm{r}}}\left[u\right]\right),\,\phi_{P}^{N_{\mathrm{r}}}\right\rangle &= 0 \end{split}$$

Note:

- Remains (Weak-) hyperbolic
- \bullet Coupled in PC
- Decoupled in MR

- Lower Polynomial Order
- Better for parallel computing





Numerical Experiments in One Space Dimension





Clarifier-Thickener Problem with random feed

Find the unknown concentration \boldsymbol{u} with

$$\begin{array}{ll} u_t(x,t,\omega) + \begin{pmatrix} g(x,t,u,\omega) \end{pmatrix}_x &= 0 & \text{ in } \mathbb{R} \times (0,T) \times \Omega. \\ u(x,0,\omega) &= u_0(x) & \text{ in } \mathbb{R}. \end{array} (CT)$$

The flux function g is determined for $t\in(0,T)$ and $\omega\in\Omega$ by

$$g(x,t,u,\omega) := \begin{cases} q_{\rm L}(t)(u-u_{\rm F}(t,\omega)) & \text{ for } x < -1, \\ q_{\rm L}(t)(u-u_{\rm F}(t,\omega)) + b(u) & \text{ for } -1 < x < 0, \\ q_{\rm R}(u-u_{\rm F}(t,\omega)) + b(u) & \text{ for } 0 < x < 1, \\ q_{\rm R}(u-u_{\rm F}(t,\omega)) & \text{ for } x > 1. \end{cases}$$

Here $q_{\rm L},\,q_{\rm R}$ and $u_{\rm F}$ satisfy

$$q_{\rm L}(.) \in C^1([0,T)), \ q_{\rm L}(.) < 0, \ q_{\rm R} > 0, \ 0 \le u_{\rm F}(\omega) \le 1.$$

Literature: M. Bustos et al, Sedimentation and thickening





Second Formulation of the Model

The flux $g(x,t,u,\omega)$ has discontinuities at the points x=-1,0,1. We view the flux g as depending of two parameters

$$\gamma^{1}(x,t) := \begin{cases} q_{\mathrm{L}}(t) & \text{for } x < 0, \\ q_{\mathrm{R}} & \text{for } x > 0, \end{cases} \gamma^{2}(x,t) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } x \in (-1,1), \\ 0 & \text{for } x \notin (-1,1). \end{cases}$$

With the flux

$$f(t,u,\gamma^1,\gamma^2,\omega):=\gamma^1(\cdot,\omega)(u-u_{\rm F}(t,\omega))+\gamma^2b(u)$$

we can understand the problem $\left(CT\right)$ as a system of balance laws

$$u(x,t,\omega)_t + \left(f(t,u,\gamma^1,\gamma^2,\omega)\right)_x = 0,$$

$$\gamma_t^1(x,t) = H(-x)q_{\mathrm{L},t}(t), \qquad \gamma_t^1(x,t) = 0$$

$$(CTF)$$

for the unknown vector $(u, \gamma^1, \gamma^2)^T \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^2$. The system (CTF) is weakly hyperbolic.







$$u_i^{\alpha,n+1} = u_i^{\alpha,n} - \frac{\Delta t^n}{\Delta x} \left(F_{i+1/2}^{\alpha,n} - F_{i-1/2}^{\alpha,n} \right) \quad (i \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha = 0, \dots, P).$$







$$u_i^{\alpha,n+1} = u_i^{\alpha,n} - \frac{\Delta t^n}{\Delta x} \left(F_{i+1/2}^{\alpha,n} - F_{i-1/2}^{\alpha,n} \right) \quad (i \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha = 0, \dots, P).$$

• We use Lax-Friedrichs numerical flux:

$$\begin{split} F_{i+1/2}^{\alpha,n} &:= \frac{1}{2} \bigg(f^{\alpha}(t^n, u_i^{0,n}, \dots, u_i^{\alpha,n}, \gamma_i^{1\,n}, \gamma_i^{2,n}) \\ &+ f^{\alpha}(t^n, u_{i+1}^{0,n}, \dots, u_{i+1}^{P,n}, \gamma_{i+1}^{1\,n}, \gamma_{i+1}^{2,n}) \bigg) + \frac{\Delta x}{2\Delta t^n} (u_{i+1}^{\alpha,n} - u_i^{\alpha,n}). \end{split}$$





$$u_i^{\alpha,n+1} = u_i^{\alpha,n} - \frac{\Delta t^n}{\Delta x} \left(F_{i+1/2}^{\alpha,n} - F_{i-1/2}^{\alpha,n} \right) \quad (i \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha = 0, \dots, P).$$

• We use Lax-Friedrichs numerical flux:

$$\begin{split} F_{i+1/2}^{\alpha,n} &:= \frac{1}{2} \bigg(f^{\alpha}(t^n, u_i^{0,n}, \dots, u_i^{\alpha,n}, \gamma_i^{1,n}, \gamma_i^{2,n}) \\ &+ f^{\alpha}(t^n, u_{i+1}^{0,n}, \dots, u_{i+1}^{P,n}, \gamma_{i+1}^{1,n}, \gamma_{i+1}^{2,n}) \bigg) + \frac{\Delta x}{2\Delta t^n} (u_{i+1}^{\alpha,n} - u_i^{\alpha,n}). \end{split}$$

• Flux function:

$$f^{\alpha}(t, u^{0}, \dots, u^{P}, \gamma^{1}, \gamma^{2}) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{P} \gamma^{1} u_{\alpha}^{N_{r}} - \sum_{\alpha=0}^{P} \gamma^{1} u_{F}^{\alpha}(t) + \gamma^{2} \left\langle b\left(\Pi^{N_{o}, N_{r}}\left[u\right]\right), \phi_{\alpha}^{N_{r}} \right\rangle.$$







$$u_i^{\alpha,n+1} = u_i^{\alpha,n} - \frac{\Delta t^n}{\Delta x} \left(F_{i+1/2}^{\alpha,n} - F_{i-1/2}^{\alpha,n} \right) \quad (i \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha = 0, \dots, P).$$

• We use Lax-Friedrichs numerical flux:

$$\begin{split} F_{i+1/2}^{\alpha,n} &:= \frac{1}{2} \bigg(f^{\alpha}(t^n, u_i^{0,n}, \dots, u_i^{\alpha,n}, \gamma_i^{1,n}, \gamma_i^{2,n}) \\ &+ f^{\alpha}(t^n, u_{i+1}^{0,n}, \dots, u_{i+1}^{P,n}, \gamma_{i+1}^{1,n}, \gamma_{i+1}^{2,n}) \bigg) + \frac{\Delta x}{2\Delta t^n} (u_{i+1}^{\alpha,n} - u_i^{\alpha,n}). \end{split}$$

• Flux function:

$$f^{\alpha}(t, u^{0}, \dots, u^{P}, \gamma^{1}, \gamma^{2}) = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{P} \gamma^{1} u_{\alpha}^{N_{r}} - \sum_{\alpha=0}^{P} \gamma^{1} u_{F}^{\alpha}(t) + \gamma^{2} \left\langle b\left(\Pi^{N_{o}, N_{r}}\left[u\right]\right), \phi_{\alpha}^{N_{r}} \right\rangle.$$

 \bullet Initial values: $u_i^{0,0}=\ldots=u_i^{P,0}=0$



.



Numerical Experiments

• Batch flux function

$$b(u) := \begin{cases} 10^{-4}u((1-u)^5) & : 0 \le u \le u_*, \\ p_2(u) & : u_* < u \le u_{Max}, \\ 0 & : u_{Max} < u. \end{cases}$$

 p_2 -second order polynomial.

 $\bullet\,$ The solid volume feed fraction $u_{\rm F}$ satisfies

$$u_{\rm F}(t,\omega) := 0.15 + 0.05\xi(\omega),$$

such that ξ is uniformly distributed on [0,1]. Consequently

$$\mathbb{E}\left[u_{\mathrm{F}}\right] = 0.175$$

•
$$q_{\rm L} = -7.2 \cdot 10^{-6}$$
, $q_{\rm R} = 3.0 \cdot 10^{-6}$.









Expectation (blue line) and the difference to the previous time-step (red line) on $T = 10^6$ and $u_F = 0.15 + 0.05\xi$ computed with $N_r = 4$, $N_o = 4$.





Variance



Variance (blue line) and the difference to the previous time-step (red line) $T = 10^6$ and $u_F = 0.15 + 0.05\xi$ computed with $N_r = 4$, $N_o = 4$.





Distribution of the numerical solution



Distribution of the numerical solution on $T=10^6$ with $u_{\rm F}=0.15+0.05\xi$ and the difference to the previous time-step.





L^1 -Error

.....

Reference - Monte-Carlo solution with 500 000 samples.

$N_{\rm o}$	$N_{\rm r} = 3$	$N_{\rm r} = 4$	$N_{\rm r} = 5$
2	1.405881e-02	4.252602e-03	1.415577e-03
3	1.002693e-02	3.134362e-03	1.016522e-03
4	7.384129e-03	2.323872e-03	7.785858e-04
5	5.422152e-03	1.642281e-03	6.374276e-04

Table: L^1 -Error of the SMR-approach.

Samples	50000	100000	200000	400000
L^1 -error	5.614726e-03	1.392341e-03	1.132936e-03	6.651745e-04

Table: L^1 -Error for the MC-approach.





CPU-Time

.82

	Computed on 4 CPU's				Computed on 16 CPU's	
$N_{\rm o}$	$N_{\rm r}=2$	$N_{\rm r}=3$	$N_{\rm r}=4$	$N_{\rm r}=5$	$N_{\rm r}=4$	$N_{\rm r}=5$
2	350 s.	1021 s.	1674 s.	3949 s.		
3	721 s.	2055 s.	3362 s.	7920 s.		
4	1332 s.	3767 s.	6032 s.	14427 s.	1753 s.	4188 s.
5	2277 s.	6069 s.	10195 s.	24346 s.	2701 s.	6351 s.

Table: Computation on Intel-Xeon E7-4830 (2.13 GHz). Time in sec.

Samples:	50000	100000	200000	400000	500000
Time (s.)	24009 s.	47651s.	96005 s.	192019 s.	353391 s.

Table: During of Monte-Carlo computations on 32 CPU's. Computed on AMD Opteron(tm) Processor 2376 (2.3GHz)





Numerical Experiments in two Space Dimensions







Randomly Perturbed Quarter Five-Spot Problem

Find the unknown saturation $S\,:D\times [0,T)\times \Omega \to [0,1]$

 $\begin{cases} S_t + \operatorname{div}\,\left(\mathbf{v}_s f(S)\right) + q(\mathbf{x},S) = 0 & \text{in } D \times (0,T) \times \Omega, \\ S(x,0) = S_0(x) & \text{in } D. \end{cases}$



Here

 \mathbf{v}_s is a given velocity field satisfies

$$\mathbf{v}_s = (v^1 + \xi(\omega), v^2)^t, \qquad \xi \sim \mathcal{U}(0, 1)$$

and

$$\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{v}_s) = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad D \times \Omega.$$

f nonlinear fractional flow function.

q Source.





Finite Volume Method

The semidiscrete Central-Upwind scheme (Kurganov, Petrova (2005)) for $\bar{S}=\left(\bar{S}^0,\ldots,\bar{S}^P\right)$ is given by

$$\begin{split} \frac{d}{dt} \bar{S}_{j}^{\alpha} &:= -\frac{1}{|T_{j}|} \sum_{k=1}^{3} h_{jk} \left(\frac{a_{jk}^{in} F^{\alpha}(\tilde{S}_{jk}, M_{j}(k), t) + a_{jk}^{out} F^{\alpha}(\tilde{S}_{j}, M_{j}(k))}{a_{jk}^{in} + a_{jk}^{out}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{jk} \\ &+ \frac{1}{|T_{j}|} \sum_{k=1}^{3} h_{jk} \frac{a_{jk}^{in} a_{jk}^{out}}{a_{jk}^{in} + a_{jk}^{out}} \left[\tilde{S}_{jk}^{\alpha}(M_{j}(k)) - \tilde{S}_{j}^{\alpha}(M_{j}(k)) \right]. \end{split}$$

Here

$$F^{\alpha}(S, x, y, t) := \left\langle \mathbf{v}_{s} f\left(\Pi^{N_{o}, N_{r}} \left[S \right] \right), \, \phi_{\alpha}^{N_{r}} \right\rangle, \quad \alpha = 0, \dots, P,$$

- \bar{S}_j the cell average over the triangle T_j ,
- h_{jk} is the length of the k-th side, k = 1, 2, 3,
- $M_j(k)$ is the midpoint of the k-th side,
 - n_{jk} is the outer normal on the k-th side,
- $a_{ik}^{in}, a_{ik}^{out}$ directional local speeds on the k-th side,
 - $\tilde{S}_j(G)$ is a admissible reconstruction on the point G over the cell T_j .







Expectation (a) and Variance (b) of the numerical solution computed on $N_{\rm r}=2$, $N_{\rm o}=2$, (this implies 12-dimensional system) on 2154 Triangles.





Conclusion and Remarks

- The computational effort of Stochastic Multi-Resolution approach is significantly lower the the computational effort of Monte-Carlo approach.
- The Stochastic Multi-Resolution requires higher dimensional system than Polynomial Chaos, but this system is partially decoupled and we need lower polynomial order.
- Stochastic Multi-Resolution requires less synchronisation in parallel computation.





Thank you for your attention!





Literature

Rémi Abgrall.

A simple, flexible and generic deterministic apporach to uncertainty quantifications in non linear problems: application to fluid flow problems. 2007.

Bradley K. Alpert.

A class of bases in L^2 for the sparse representation of integral operators. SIAM J. Math. Anal., 24(1):246–262, 1993.

R. Bürger, K. H. Karlsen, N. H. Risebro, and J. D. Towers. Well-posedness in *BV*₁ and convergence of a difference scheme for continuous sedimentation in ideal clarifier-thickener units. *Numer. Math.*, 97(1):25–65, 2004.

María Cristina Bustos, Fernando Concha, Raimund Bürger, and Elmer M. Tory. Sedimentation and thickening, volume 8 of Mathematical Modelling: Theory

and Applications. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1999. Phenomenological foundation and mathematical theory.

Alexander Kurganov and Guergana Petrova.

Central-upwind schemes on triangular grids for hyperbolic systems of conservation laws. Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations, 21(3):536–552, 2005.

- O. P. Le Maître, H. N. Najm, R. G. Ghanem, and O. M. Knio. Multi-resolution analysis of Wiener-type uncertainty propagation schemes. J. Comput. Phys., 197(2):502–531, 2004.
- Gaël Poëtte, Bruno Després, and Didier Lucor. Uncertainty quantification for systems of conservation laws. J. Comput. Phys., 228(7):2443–2467, 2009.
- J. Tryoen, O. Le Maître, M. Ndjinga, and A. Ern. Intrusive Galerkin methods with upwinding for uncertain nonlinear hyperbolic systems. J. Comput. Phys., 229(18):6485–6511, 2010.