# Complete synchronization of particle and kinetic Kuramoto models on networks

Seung Yeal Ha

#### Department of Mathematical Sciences Seoul National University

June 25, 2012

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

## **Outline**

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

Short tour of Kuramoto's theory

Goal of this talk

Complete synchronization of particle KM

Long-time dynamics of kinetic KM

Conclusion

## Short tour of Kuramoto's mean-field theory

Synchronization

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

#### • Fireflies(lightening bugs)







(日) (圖) (E) (E) (E)

from "wikipedia"







<ロ> <四> <四> <三> <三> <三> <三> <三

from "google-image"

## Synchronization

"Synchronization (=syn (same, common) + chronous (time))" is an adjustment of rhythms of oscillating objects due to their weak interaction.

## ♦ Examples:

- Flashing of fireflies in South-East Asia
- Firing of coupled cardiac pacemaker cells (heart's contraction)
- Synchronous firing of many neurons (Parkinson's disease)

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Hands clapping in a concert

How to model the synchronized dynamics?

Consider an ensemble of rotors moving along  $S^1$  with natural frequency  $\Omega_i$  which is randomly drawn from some probability distribution with a density  $g(\Omega)$ .



・ コット (雪) ( 小田) ( コット 日)

#### • Dynamics of *x<sub>k</sub>*: (Phase dynamics)

 $x_k \in S^1(\subset C)$ : position of *k*-th oscillator =  $e^{i\theta_k}$ ,  $\theta_k \in R$ .

State of system is determined by the dynamics of  $\theta_k$ .

In the absence of interactions(couplings), we have

 $\dot{\theta}_k = \Omega_k$ , i.e.  $\theta_k(t) = \theta_{k0} + \Omega_k t$ .

Then a natural question is

#### How to model "interactions" ?

## The Kuramoto model (1975)



$$\dot{\theta}_i = \Omega_i + \frac{K}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \sin(\theta_i - \theta_i), \quad i = 1, \cdots, N.$$

## The Kuramoto model is a prototype for the synchronization

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ● ● ● ●

## Kuramoto's mean-field analysis

$$\mathsf{KM}: \quad \dot{\theta}_i = \Omega_i + \frac{K}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \sin(\theta_i - \theta_i), \quad i = 1, \cdots, N.$$

Define order parameters r and  $\phi$ :

$$re^{i\phi} := rac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^N e^{i heta_j}, \quad r\in [0,1].$$

This yields

$$re^{i(\phi- heta_i)}=rac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^N e^{i( heta_j- heta_i)}, \quad r\sin(\phi- heta_i)=rac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N\sin( heta_j- heta_i).$$

|▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ | ≣|||の��

 $\mathsf{KM} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \dot{\theta}_i = \Omega_i + Kr \sin(\phi - \theta_i).$ 

WLOG, we can assume  $\phi = 0$ , i.e.,

 $\dot{\theta}_i = \Omega_i - Kr \sin(\theta_i).$ 

If  $|\Omega_i| > Kr$ , then *i*-th oscillator will drift over the circle.

If  $|\Omega_i| \leq Kr$ , then *i*-th oscillator may approach to some equilibrium state.

• Mean-field limit:

$$r^{\infty}(K) := \lim_{N \to \infty} \lim_{t \to \infty} r^{N}(K, t).$$

A D F A 同 F A E F A E F A Q A

SHORT TOUR OF KURAMOTO'S THEORY GOAL OF THIS TALK COMPLETE SYNCHRONIZATION OF PARTICLE KM LONG-TIME DYNAMICS

#### Phase transition



cf. 1 Acebron et al. The Kuramoto model: a simple paradigm for synchronization phenomena, Rev. Modern Phys. 77 (2005) 137-185.2. Jian-Guo Liu's talk in this meeting

$$\partial_t f + \partial_\theta(\omega[f]f) = 0,$$
  

$$\omega[f](x, \Omega, t) := \Omega - K \int_0^{2\pi} \int_R \sin(\theta_* - \theta) f(\theta_*, \Omega_*, t) g(\Omega_*) d\Omega_* d\theta.$$

SHORT TOUR OF KURAMOTO'S THEORY GOAL OF THIS TALK COMPLETE SYNCHRONIZATION OF PARTICLE KM LONG-TIME DYNAMICS

## What I want to address today:

## Complete synchronization

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

#### In Steve Strogatz's survey paper (2000)

"From Kuramoto to Crawford exploring the onset of synchronization in populations of coupled oscillators, Phys. D 143, 1-20 (2000)."

"In the last of her three Bowen lectures at Berkeley in 1986, Kopell pointed out that Kuramoto's argument contained a few intuitive leaps that were far from obvious. In fact, they began to seem paradoxical the more one thought about them, and she wondered whether one could prove some theorems that would put the analysis on firmer footing. In particular, she wanted to redo the analysis rigorously for large but finite N, and then prove a convergence result as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . But it would not be easy. Whereas Kuramoto's approach had relied on the assumption that r was strictly constant, Kopell emphasized that nothing like that could be strictly true for any finite N".

• Questions: In this talk, I would like to discuss:

*1*. Complete synchronization of the particle KM with  $N < \infty$  for large coupling regime  $K \gg 1$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

2. Long-time dynamics of the kinetic KM.

SHORT TOUR OF KURAMOTO'S THEORY GOAL OF THIS TALK COMPLETE SYNCHRONIZATION OF PARTICLE KM LONG-TIME DYNAMICS

## Complete synchronization of Particle KM

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ の < @

## The complete synchronization problem

Consider the Kuramoto model:

$$\dot{\theta}_i = \Omega_i + \frac{\kappa}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \sin(\theta_j - \theta_i), \quad i = 1, \cdots, N,$$

subject to initial data

 $\theta_i(\mathbf{0}) = \theta_{i\mathbf{0}}.$ 

where  $\Omega_i$ , K > 0, N are given constants satisfying

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \Omega_i = \mathbf{0}.$$

• Static questions:

$$\Omega_i + \frac{\kappa}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \sin(\theta_i - \theta_i) = 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^N \Omega_i = 0, \quad i = 1, \cdots, N.$$

- 1. Are there solutions for the above system ? (Existence)
- 2. If yes, how do they look like ? (Structure)

- Dynamic questions:
  - 1. Are phase-locked states stable ? (Stability)
  - If phase-locked states can emerge from initial configurations, how does the relaxation process look like ? (Relaxation)

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

cf. Mirollo-Strogatz('05, '07), Aeyels-Rogge '04, De Smet-Aeyels '07, ···

- Simple observation:
  - 1. If  $|\Omega_i| > K$ , then there are no steady solutions at all.
  - One solution generates a one-parameter family of solutions:

$$\begin{array}{l} \theta = (\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_N) : \text{ solution } \Longrightarrow \\ \theta + 2\pi\alpha = (\theta_1 + 2\pi\alpha_1, \cdots, \theta_N + 2\pi\alpha_N), \ \alpha \in Z^N : \text{ solution.} \end{array}$$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

**Definition**: The Kuramoto system  $\mathcal{P}$  has asymptotic complete synchronization if and only if the following condition holds.

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}|\dot{\theta}_i(t)-\dot{\theta}_j(t)|=0,\qquad\forall\ i\neq j.$$

• Formation of asymptotic synchronization



## **Problem and Strategy**

#### • Problem:

Find conditions for initial configurations and parameters leading to complete synchronization.

• Strategy:

1. Consider the functionals: diameters of the phase and frequency configurations:

$$egin{array}{rcl} D( heta(t)) & := & \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} | heta_i(t) - heta_j(t)|, \ D(\omega(t)) & := & \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} |\omega_i(t) - \omega_j(t)|. \end{array}$$

- 2. Derive Gronwall's inequalities for  $D(\theta)$  and  $D(\omega)$ .
- 3. Finally we show

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} D(\theta(t)) = 0, \qquad \lim_{t\to\infty} D(\omega(t)) = 0.$$

• **Theorem** (Formation of phase-locked states): Choi-H-Jung-Kim '11 Suppose initial data, natural frequencies and coupling strength satisfy

$$0 < D(\theta_0) < \pi, \quad D(\Omega) > 0, \quad K > K_e := \frac{D(\Omega)}{\sin D_0}.$$

Then there exists  $t_0$  such that

 $D(\omega(t_0))e^{-K(t-t_0)} \leq D(\omega(t)) \leq D(\omega(t_0))e^{-K(\cos D_0^*)(t-t_0)}, \quad t \geq t_0.$ 

cf. 1. Chopra-Spong '09, Ha-Lattanzio-Rubino-Slemrod '10, H-Ha-Kim '10 2. Dong-Xue ('12):  $0 < D(\theta_0) < 2\pi$  for identical oscillators

A D F A 同 F A E F A E F A Q A

## Orbital stability in $\ell_1$

• **Theorem** Let  $\theta$  and  $\tilde{\theta}$  be the global smooth solution to the Kuramoto model with initial data  $\theta_0$  and  $\tilde{\theta}_0$ , respectively satisfying

$$0 < \mathcal{D}( ilde{ heta}_0) \leq \mathcal{D}( heta_0) < \pi \quad ext{and} \quad K > rac{\mathcal{D}(\Omega)}{\sin \mathcal{D}_0}.$$

Then if  $\theta_c(0) = \tilde{\theta}_c(0)$ , then we have

 $||(\theta - \tilde{\theta})(t_0)||_1 e^{-K(t-t_0)} \leq ||(\theta - \tilde{\theta})(t)||_1 \leq ||(\theta - \tilde{\theta})(t_0)||_1 e^{-\frac{K\sin 2D^{\infty}}{2D^{\infty}}(t-t_0)}.$ 

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

SHORT TOUR OF KURAMOTO'S THEORY GOAL OF THIS TALK COMPLETE SYNCHRONIZATION OF PARTICLE KM LONG-TIME DYNAMICS

#### Original Kuramoto model with all-to-all couplings can be understood as a synchronization process on the complete graph.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

## Network interpretation for complex system

### A complex system can be interpreted as a network with suitable topology (network structure)



Node(vertex) = agent, particle, interaction.

edge = capacity of

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・

## The complete synchronization problem on two types of networks

- Symmetric network
- Network with hierarchical leadership structure

Joint work with Zhuchun Li (SNU) and Xiaoming Xue (Harbin Institute of Technology)

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

#### A network with symmetric couplings

$$\dot{\theta}_i = \Omega_i + K \sum_{i=1}^N \psi_{ji} \sin(\theta_j - \theta_i), \quad \psi_{ji} = \psi_{ij}.$$

WLOG, we may assume

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \Omega_i = \mathbf{0}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{N} \theta_i = \mathbf{0}.$$

cf. KM :  $\psi_{ij} = \frac{1}{N}$ 

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のQ@

• Difficulty: some kind of "*completeness property*" lacks in the symmetric networks: For each  $i = 1, \dots, N$ ,

$$\sum_{j\in\Lambda_i}\psi_{ji}\theta_j=\mathbf{0}, \quad ?? \qquad \Lambda_i:=\{j: \ 1\leq j\leq N, \ \psi_{ji}>\mathbf{0}\}.$$

Thus the previous approach involving with  $D(\theta)$  and  $D(\omega)$  does not seem to work.

 $\bullet$  Remedy: We instead use " $\ell_2$ -energy method" and gradient structure of KM flow.

$$\mathcal{E}( heta) := \sum_{i=1}^N | heta_i|^2.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─のへで

### KM as a gradient flow

## $\psi : \text{symmetric coupling} \iff \\ \partial_t \theta = -\nabla f(\theta), \quad \theta = (\theta_1, \cdots, \theta_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N,$

#### where the analytic potential f is given

$$f(\theta) = -\sum_{k=1}^{N} \Omega_k \theta_k + \frac{K}{2} \sum_{k,l=1}^{N} \psi_{kl} (1 - \cos(\theta_k - \theta_l)) : \text{ analytic.}$$

• Theorem: Dong-Xue '12, H-Li-Xue'12

Bounded fluctuations  $\iff$  Asymptotic complete synchronization.

**Proof**. Direct application of Lojasiewicz's gradient inequality for a gradient system with analytical potential

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

#### • Lemma:

Suppose that the network (V(G), E(G)) is connected and  $\{z_i\}$  has a mean zero:

$$\sum_{i=1}^N z_i = 0.$$

Then we have

$$2L_*N\mathcal{E}(z) \leq \sum_{i,j\in E(G)} |z_i-z_j|^2 \leq 2N\mathcal{E}(z), \quad t \geq 0,$$

where the constant  $L_*$  is given by

$$\mathcal{E}(z) := \sum_{i=1}^{N} |z_i|^2, \qquad L_* := \frac{1}{1 + \operatorname{diam}(G)|E^c|}$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のへ⊙

#### • Lemma:

For  $T \in [0, \infty]$ , let  $\theta = \theta(t)$  be the smooth solutions in the time-interval [0, T) to KM satisfying a priori boundedness condition:

$$\max_{1\leq i,j\leq N}\max_{t\in[0,T]}|\theta_i(t)-\theta_j(t)|\leq D_0<\pi.$$

Then the energy  $\mathcal{E}(\theta)$  satisfies

 $\frac{d\mathcal{E}(\theta)}{dt} \leq 2\sigma(\Omega)\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(\theta)} - L_* KN\psi_m \Big(\frac{\sin D_0}{D_0}\Big)\mathcal{E}(\theta), \quad \text{on } [0, T].$ 

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

#### • Theorem: H-Li-Xue'12

Let  $D_0 \in (0, \pi)$ , and suppose that the coupling strength and initial data satisfy

$$K > rac{\sqrt{2}\sigma(\Omega)}{L_*N\psi_m\sin D_0} \quad ext{and} \quad \mathcal{E}( heta_0) < rac{{D_0}^2}{2}.$$

Then the complete synchronization occurs asymptotically.

$$D(\theta(t)) < D_0, \quad \lim_{t\to\infty} |\dot{\theta}_i(t)| = 0, \quad 1 \le i,j \le N.$$

• Proof. We set  $y(t) := \sqrt{\mathcal{E}(\theta(t))}$  and

$$\dot{\mathbf{y}} \leq \sigma(\Omega) - L_* \mathcal{KN} \psi_m \Big( rac{\sin D_0}{D_0} \Big) \mathbf{y}$$

・ロト・西ト・西ト・日・ うろの

#### • Corollary:

Let  $D_0 \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ , and suppose that the coupling strength and initial data satisfy

$$K > rac{\sqrt{2}\sigma(\Omega)}{L_*N\psi_m \sin D_0} \quad ext{and} \quad \mathcal{E}( heta_0) < rac{{D_0}^2}{2}$$

Then the exponential complete synchronization occurs asymptotically and

$$|\dot{ heta}_i(t)| \leq \sqrt{\mathcal{E}(\omega_0)} \exp\left[-(2\mathcal{K}\psi_m L_* \cos D_0)t
ight]$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQで

## Sketch of proof

Note that

$$D(\theta(t)) < D_0 < \frac{\pi}{2},$$

and the frequency set  $\{\omega_i\}$  has a mean zero:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \omega_i = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Omega_i + K \sum_{i,j} \psi_{ji} \sin(\theta_j - \theta_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Omega_i = 0.$$

From KM,

$$\dot{\omega}_i = K \sum_{j=1}^{N} \psi_{ji} \cos(\theta_j - \theta_i) (\omega_j - \omega_i).$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

#### Energy method implies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathcal{E}(\omega)}{dt} &= -\frac{K}{N} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \psi_{ji} \cos(\theta_j - \theta_i) |\omega_j - \omega_i|^2 \\ &\leq -\frac{K \psi_m \cos D_0}{N} \sum_{(i,j) \in E(G)} |\omega_j - \omega_i|^2 \\ &= -\frac{2K \psi_m \cos D_0}{1 + \operatorname{diam}(G) |E^c|} \mathcal{E}(\omega). \end{aligned}$$

## A network with hierarchical leadership

$$\dot{\theta}_i = \Omega_i + K \sum_{i=1}^N \psi_{ji} \sin(\theta_j - \theta_i).$$

The KM has an "*HL*" structure iff the matrix  $\psi$  satisfies:

1. Followers are influenced only by leaders, i.e.,

$$\psi_{ji} > \mathbf{0} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad j < i.$$

- 2. The leader set of the *i*-th oscillator  $\mathcal{L}(i) := \{j : 1 \le j \le i, \psi_{ji} > 0\}$  is not empty for all i > 1.
- Note: the leader rotates with a constant speed:

$$\dot{\theta}_1 = \Omega_1, \quad \theta_1(t) = \theta_{10} + \Omega_1 t.$$

• Difficulty: No conservation law

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \theta_i$$
 is not conserved along KM flow

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

• Remedy: Use Induction due to HL

## A large system with $N \ge 4$

Recall the Kuramoto model with an HL topology:

$$\dot{\theta}_1 = \Omega_1,$$
  
$$\dot{\theta}_i = \Omega_i + K \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \psi_{ji} \sin(\theta_j - \theta_i), \quad i = 2, \cdots, N,$$
 (1)

We set  $\varphi_i := \theta_i - \theta_{i+1}$ ,  $\Delta_i := \Omega_i - \Omega_{i+1}$ ,  $\omega_i := \dot{\theta}_i - \dot{\theta}_{i+1}$ . Then  $\varphi_i$  satisfy

$$\dot{\varphi}_{1} = \Delta_{1} - \mathcal{K}\psi_{12}\sin\varphi_{1},$$
  
$$\dot{\varphi}_{i} = \Delta_{i} + \mathcal{K}\sum_{j=1}^{i-1}\psi_{ji}\sin\left(\sum_{l=j}^{i-1}\varphi_{l}\right) - \mathcal{K}\sum_{j=1}^{i}\psi_{j(i+1)}\sin\left(\sum_{l=j}^{i}\varphi_{l}\right).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

We set  $\Gamma^*$  and  $D_n$ :  $D_{\infty} < \frac{\pi}{2},$  $\Gamma^* := \max_{1 \le l \le N-1} \Big[ \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \psi_{jl} + \sum_{j=1}^{l} \psi_{j(l+1)}}{\sqrt{1 - (\sin D_{\infty})^2} \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \psi_{j(l+1)} + \psi_{l(l+1)}} \Big]$  $S_n(t) := \sum_{i=1}^n |\varphi_i(t)|, \quad t \ge 0,$  $D_n := \sup_{t \ge 0} S_n(t), \quad n = 1, \cdots, N-1.$ t > 0

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

**Lemma 3**: For a given  $N \ge 4$  and  $D_{\infty} \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ , let  $\kappa \in (0, D_{\infty})$  and  $\varepsilon$  be positive constants such that

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \left[ \frac{(\Gamma^* + 1)^{N-2} - 1}{\Gamma^*} \right] \sin \kappa &< \frac{1}{2} \sin D_{\infty}. \\ (ii) (\Gamma^* + 1)^{N-2} \sin \varepsilon &< \frac{1}{2} \sin D_{\infty}, \quad \varepsilon &< \frac{D_{\infty}}{N-1}, \\ \psi_{13} \sqrt{1 - \varepsilon^2} + \psi_{23} - 2\sqrt{2}(\psi_{12} + \psi_{13}) \sin \varepsilon > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that the coupling strength K and initial data  $\theta_0$  satisfy

$$\begin{split} \kappa &> \max \left\{ \frac{|\Delta_1|}{\psi_{12} \sin \varepsilon}, \\ \max_{2 \leq l \leq N-1} \left\{ \frac{|\Delta_l|}{\sin \kappa \left( \sqrt{1 - \sin^2 D^{\infty}} \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \psi_{j(l+1)} + \psi_{l(l+1)} \right)} \right\} \right\}, \\ \theta_{i0} \in (0, \varepsilon), \quad i = 1, \cdots, N. \end{split}$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} |\varphi_i(t)| \le D_{\infty}, \quad t > 0.$$

## Exponential complete frequency synchronization

**Theorem**: Based on the same assumptions in the above lemma, there exist  $\{\mu_i\}_{i=1}^{N-1}$  such that

 $|\dot{ heta}_i(t)-\dot{ heta}_j(t)|\leq Ce^{-\mu t}, \quad t>0,$ 

i.e., exponential complete synchronization will occur asymptotically.

• The proof is given by *induction* again.

## Simulations for non-identical oscillators



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで

## Simulations for non-identical oscillators



SHORT TOUR OF KURAMOTO'S THEORY GOAL OF THIS TALK COMPLETE SYNCHRONIZATION OF PARTICLE KM LONG-TIME DYNAMICS

## Long-time dynamics of kinetic KM

## Contraction of the kinetic KM

The kinetic Kuramoto model: Chiba '10, Lancellotti '05

$$\partial_t f + \partial_{\theta}(\omega[f]f) = 0, \quad (\theta, \Omega) \in T \times R, \ t > 0,$$
  
 $\omega[f](\theta, \Omega, t) = \Omega - K \int_0^{2\pi} \sin(\theta - \theta_*) \rho(\theta_*, t) d\theta_*,$   
 $\rho(\theta_*, t) := \int_R f d\Omega_*,$ 

subject to prepared initial data:

$$f(\theta, \Omega, \mathbf{0}) = f_{\mathbf{0}}(\theta, \Omega),$$

where initial datum  $f_0$  is assumed to satisfy constraints:

$$f_0( heta,\Omega)=f_0( heta+2\pi,\Omega), \ \ \int_0^{2\pi}f_0( heta,\Omega)d heta=g(\Omega), \ \ \int_0^{2\pi}\int_Rf_0d\Omega d heta=1.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

• Theorem: Carrillo-H-Kang-Kim '11

Suppose that the oscillators are identical, and let  $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{M}(T \times R)$  be a given initial Radon measure satisfying

$$egin{aligned} ||\mu_0|| &= 1, \quad \langle \mu_0, heta 
angle = 0, \ \mathsf{Proj}_{ heta}(\mathsf{Spt} f_0) \subset (0, D_{ heta}(0)), \quad D_{ heta}(0) < \pi. \end{aligned}$$

Then the measure valued solution  $\mu_t$  to KKE with initial datum  $\mu_0$  satisfies

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}d(\mu_t,\mu_\infty)=\mathsf{0},$$

where  $d = d(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the bounded Lipschitz distance and  $\mu_{\infty}(d\theta, d\Omega)$  is defined by

$$\mu_{\infty}(d heta, d\Omega) := M\delta_{ heta_{c}(0)}( heta) \otimes \delta(\Omega).$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Define the cumulative distribution function of *f* and its pseudo-inverse:

$$\begin{array}{lll} F(\theta,\Omega,t) &:=& \int_0^\theta f(\theta_*,\Omega,t) d\theta_*, \quad (\theta,\Omega,t) \in \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{R}_+, \\ \phi(\eta,\Omega,t) &:=& \inf\{\theta \ : \ F(\theta,\Omega,t) > \eta\}, \quad \eta \in [0,1]. \end{array}$$

 $\phi$  satisfies the following integro-differential equation:

$$\partial_t \phi = \Omega + \mathcal{K} \int_0^1 \int_{\mathcal{R}} \sin(\phi_* - \phi) d\Omega_* d\eta_*,$$

where we used abbreviated handy notations:

$$\phi_* := \phi(\eta_*, \Omega_*, t), \qquad \phi := \phi(\eta, \Omega, t).$$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

• Lemma Let  $\Phi = \Phi(\eta)$  be a measurable function defined on [0, 1] satisfying

$$ert \Phi(\eta_*) ert < rac{\pi}{2}, \quad ert \Phi(\eta) ert < rac{\pi}{2}, \quad ert \Phi(\eta_*) - \Phi(\eta) ert < \pi, \quad \eta_*, \; \eta \in [0, 1],$$
  
and  $\int_0^1 \Phi(\eta) d\eta = 0.$ 

Then for  $1 \le p < \infty$ , we have

$$egin{split} &\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left[ |\Phi(\eta)|^{p-1} ext{sgn}(\Phi(\eta)) - |\Phi(\eta_*)|^{p-1} ext{sgn}(\Phi(\eta_*)) 
ight] \ & imes ext{sin} \left( rac{\Phi(\eta_*) - \Phi(\eta)}{2} 
ight) d\eta_* d\eta \leq -rac{2}{\pi} \int_0^1 |\Phi(\eta)|^p d\eta. \end{split}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

#### Recall that

```
W_{p}(f_{1},f_{2})(\Omega,t):=||\phi_{1}(\cdot,\Omega,t)-\phi_{2}(\cdot,\Omega,t)||_{L^{p}((0,1))}, \quad 1\leq p\leq\infty.
```

Since  $W_p(f_1, f_2)$  depends on  $\Omega$ , we need to introduce a modified metric on the phase-space  $\theta - \Omega$ :

 $ilde{W}_{p}(f_{1},f_{2})(t):=||W_{p}(f_{1},f_{2})(\cdot,t)||_{L^{p}(R)}, \quad 1\leq p\leq\infty.$ 

・ロト・四ト・ヨト・ヨト・日・今日・

#### • **Theorem**: Carrillo-H-Kang-Kim '11 Suppose that two Radon measures $\mu_0$ , $\nu_0$ and K satisfy

 $\begin{array}{ll} (i) \ \mu_0 = f_{10} d\theta d\Omega, & \nu_0 = f_{20} d\theta d\Omega, & f_{i0} \in L^1(R), \quad i = 1, 2. \\ (ii) \ 0 < D_{\theta}(\nu_0) \leq D_{\theta}(\mu_0) < \pi, & 0 < D_{\Omega}(\mu_0) = D_{\Omega}(\nu_0) < \infty. \\ (iii) \ \int_{T \times R} \theta \mu_0(d\theta, d\Omega) = \int_{T \times R} \theta \nu_0(d\theta, d\Omega). \\ (iv) \ K > D_{\Omega}(\mu_0) \max \Big\{ \frac{1}{\sin D_{\theta}(\mu_0)}, \frac{1}{\sin D_{\theta}(\nu_0)} \Big\}. \end{array}$ 

Let  $\mu_t$  and  $\nu_t$  be two measure valued solutions to KKE corresponding to initial data  $\mu_0$  and  $\nu_0$  respectively. Then there exists  $t_0 > 0$  such that

$$\widetilde{W}_{p}(f_{1}(t),f_{2}(t)) \leq \widetilde{W}_{p}(f_{1}(t),f_{2}(t)) \exp\Big[-\frac{2K\cos D^{\infty}}{\pi}(t-t_{0})\Big], \quad t \geq t_{0},$$

where  $p \in [1, \infty]$  and  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are corresponding density functions of  $\mu_t$  and  $\nu_t$  respectively.

## Conclusion

- We have provided complete synchronization estimates of Kuramoto oscillators on some networks, but the results are still far from the completeness
- For networks with non-symmetric couplings, the complete synchronization problem for Kuramoto oscillators needs to be studied.

Thank you for your attention

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

#### • **Proposition**: H-Li-Xue'12

Let  $\theta = \theta(t)$  be the uniformly bounded solution to the gradient system (??) with non-equilibrium initial data. Then there exists an  $f_{\infty} \in$  such that

$$f(\theta(t)) > f_{\infty}, \quad t > 0$$
 and  $\lim_{t \to \infty} f(\theta(t)) = f_{\infty}.$ 

• **Theorem**: Łojasiewicz Let  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be open and  $f : U \to \mathbb{R}$  be real-analytic. Then for any  $z_0 \in U$ , there exist constants  $\gamma \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1), C_L, r > 0$  such that

$$|f(z)-f(z_0)|^{\gamma} \leq C_L \|\nabla f(z)\|, \quad \forall z \in B_r(z_0) \subset U.$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)