

On the long-time behavior of 2D dissipative Euler equations

Luigi C. Berselli

Dipartimento di Matematica Applicata "U. Dini"
Università di Pisa



June 26, 2012



- 1 Introduction
- 2 Attractors
- 3 Other less standard topologies



The model

We consider the following system 2D in a bounded and smooth domain Ω with boundary Γ

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla) u + \chi u + \nabla p &= f \\ \nabla \cdot u &= 0 \\ (u \cdot n)|_{\Gamma} &= 0\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

with initial condition $u(0, x) = u_0(x)$

This are called **dissipative** Euler equations. There is a damping term, not a smoothing one.



The model

- 1 The term χu (with $\chi > 0$) may model the bottom friction in some 2D oceanic models (in that case, is called the viscous Charney-Stommel barotropic ocean circulation model of the gulf stream)



The model

- 1 The term χu (with $\chi > 0$) may model the bottom friction in some 2D oceanic models (in that case, is called the viscous Charney-Stommel barotropic ocean circulation model of the gulf stream)
- 2 It is related with Rayleigh friction in the planetary boundary layer (with space-periodic boundary conditions).



The model

- 1 The term χu (with $\chi > 0$) may model the bottom friction in some 2D oceanic models (in that case, is called the viscous Charney-Stommel barotropic ocean circulation model of the gulf stream)
- 2 It is related with Rayleigh friction in the planetary boundary layer (with space-periodic boundary conditions).
- 3 The constant χ is the **Rayleigh friction** coefficient (or the **Ekman pumping/dissipation** constant) or also the **sticky viscosity**, when the model is used to study motion in presence of rough boundaries.



The model

The model (1) represents (probably) the “weakest” dissipative modification of the Euler equations;



The model

The model (1) represents (probably) the “weakest” dissipative modification of the Euler equations;

Results on the long-time behavior of the **damped Navier-Stokes** do not directly pass to the limit “viscosity goes to zero,” hence a completely different treatment is required to study the problem without dissipation.



The model

The model (1) represents (probably) the “weakest” dissipative modification of the Euler equations;

Results on the long-time behavior of the **damped Navier-Stokes** do not directly pass to the limit “viscosity goes to zero,” hence a completely different treatment is required to study the problem without dissipation.

Early studies are in Barcilon Constantin & Titi (SIMA 1988); Hauk (PhD Thesis, Irvine 1997) Gallavotti (Quaderni CNR 1996).



Long time behavior

Since there is a damping term, one has chances of studying the long-time behavior.



Long time behavior

Since there is a damping term, one has chances of studying the long-time behavior.

The theory of attractors has been studied by Il'in (Math. Sbornik 1991) Bessaih & Flandoli (NODEA 2000).



Long time behavior

Since there is a damping term, one has chances of studying the long-time behavior.

The theory of attractors has been studied by Il'in (Math. Sbornik 1991) Bessaih & Flandoli (NODEA 2000).

The theory is based on the following energy-type estimates.



A priori estimates

Energy estimate: Testing with u itself one obtains

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u\|^2 + \chi \|u\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{\chi} \|f\|^2$$

hence the estimate

$$\|u(t)\|^2 \leq \|u(t_0)\|^2 e^{-\chi(t-t_0)} + \frac{\|f\|^2}{\chi} \int_{t_0}^t e^{-\chi(t-s)} ds, \quad \text{a. e. } t \geq t_0 \geq 0,$$

and consequently a **UNIFORM** bound for the kinetic energy for all positive times.



A priori estimates

Enstrophy estimate: Taking the 2D curl $\xi := \partial_1 u_2 - \partial_2 u_1$
 $\phi := \text{curl } f$ we get

$$\partial_t \xi + \chi \xi + (u \cdot \nabla) \xi = \phi \quad (2)$$

one immediately obtain a uniform bound for ξ

$$\|\xi(t)\|^2 \leq \|\xi(t_0)\|^2 e^{-\chi(t-t_0)} + \frac{\|\phi\|^2}{\chi} \int_{t_0}^t e^{-\chi(t-s)} ds, \quad \text{a. e. } t \geq t_0 \geq 0,$$



Existence of weak solutions

From the above estimates one obtains directly existence of weak solutions, by adapting Yudovich, (USSR Comp. Math. Math. Phys. 1963) and Bardos (JMAA 1972) theorems, based on vanishing viscosity approximation.



Existence of weak solutions

From the above estimates one obtains directly existence of weak solutions, by adapting Yudovich, (USSR Comp. Math. Math. Phys. 1963) and Bardos (JMAA 1972) theorems, based on vanishing viscosity approximation.

One has then an absorbing set in L^2 and boundedness in H^1 (since if $\nabla \cdot u = 0$ and $u \cdot n = 0$, then $\text{curl } u \sim \nabla u$).



Existence of weak solutions

From the above estimates one obtains directly existence of weak solutions, by adapting Yudovich, (USSR Comp. Math. Math. Phys. 1963) and Bardos (JMAA 1972) theorems, based on vanishing viscosity approximation.

One has then an absorbing set in L^2 and boundedness in H^1 (since if $\nabla \cdot u = 0$ and $u \cdot n = 0$, then $\text{curl } u \sim \nabla u$).

Seemingly this **should** be enough to construct an attractor in a standard way by taking the ω -limit closure of an absorbing set.



On the global attractor

This approach does not work, since the map

$$u_0 \mapsto u(t)$$

is not well defined (not a semigroup in the phase space): **Lack of uniqueness**



On the global attractor

This approach does not work, since the map

$$u_0 \mapsto u(t)$$

is not well defined (not a semigroup in the phase space): **Lack of uniqueness**

Apart small improvements a requirement to have uniqueness is that $\text{curl } u_0, \text{curl } f \in L^\infty$



On the global attractor

This approach does not work, since the map

$$u_0 \mapsto u(t)$$

is not well defined (not a semigroup in the phase space): **Lack of uniqueness**

Apart small improvements a requirement to have uniqueness is that $\text{curl } u_0, \text{curl } f \in L^\infty$

The mapping

$$u_0 \mapsto u(t)$$

is well defined, but not continuous in this setting, namely in $W^{1,\infty}!!$



On the global attractor

One main point when dealing with the Euler equations is that they are a (very peculiar) semi-linear hyperbolic system



On the global attractor

One main point when dealing with the Euler equations is that they are a (very peculiar) semi-linear hyperbolic system

The usual splitting of the semigroup $S(t) = S_1(t) + S_2(t)$ with a compact term, plus a second one decaying at infinity is not simple to be obtained.



On the global attractor

One main point when dealing with the Euler equations is that they are a (very peculiar) semi-linear hyperbolic system

The usual splitting of the semigroup $S(t) = S_1(t) + S_2(t)$ with a compact term, plus a second one decaying at infinity is not simple to be obtained.

The well-established techniques for damped hyperbolic equations, as summarized in Temam (Springer 1997) seem to be not applicable



Weak attractor

This explains why in Il'in and Bessaih and Flandoli it is studied a **weak** attractor, that is the attractor is considered in the path space and the semi-group is made with the time-shifts

$$u(t) \mapsto u(t + h)$$



Links with 2D NSE

In the context of 2D Navier-Stokes equations, the fact that vorticity is conserved can be used to improve known results.



Links with 2D NSE

In the context of 2D Navier-Stokes equations, the fact that vorticity is conserved can be used to improve known results.

In particular there are results on better estimated for the dimension of the attractor Il'in, Miranville & Titi (CMS 2004).

Results on inviscid limits and statistical solutions *à la Foias-Prodi*, Constantin and Ramos (CMP 2007).



Links with 2D NSE

In the context of 2D Navier-Stokes equations, the fact that vorticity is conserved can be used to improve known results.

In particular there are results on better estimated for the dimension of the attractor Il'in, Miranville & Titi (CMS 2004).

Results on inviscid limits and statistical solutions *à la Foias-Prodi*, Constantin and Ramos (CMP 2007).

All these results are improvements of those for the NSE when damping is present.



Links with 2D NSE

In the context of 2D Navier-Stokes equations, the fact that vorticity is conserved can be used to improve known results.

In particular there are results on better estimated for the dimension of the attractor Il'in, Miranville & Titi (CMS 2004).

Results on inviscid limits and statistical solutions *à la Foias-Prodi*, Constantin and Ramos (CMP 2007).

All these results are improvements of those for the NSE when damping is present.

In order to study the pure hyperbolic equations, one probably needs different tools.



Uniqueness?

In order to prove uniqueness, one needs, essentially $\nabla u \in L^\infty$ to estimate the nonlinear term.

The link with the vorticity is given by the stream function

$$-\Delta \Psi = \xi \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad \Psi|_{\Gamma} = 0$$

and

$$\nabla u = \nabla \nabla^T \Psi.$$

The fact that one has a precise representation of the velocity in terms of the vorticity is a fundamental tool in incompressible flows and for the 2D Euler is at the basis of the global existence results.



Velocity-Vorticity

In the history of the Euler equations there are many cases of discovering and “independent re-discovering” of similar results



Velocity-Vorticity

In the history of the Euler equations there are many cases of discovering and “independent re-discovering” of similar results

Existence of solutions in the 2D case dates back to Lichtenstein (Math. Z. 1925) and global-existence has been proved almost independently by Hölder (Math. Z. 1933) and Wolibner Math. (Z. 1933), as can be seen in the editorial note in the first page of Hölder’s paper.)



Velocity-Vorticity

In the history of the Euler equations there are many cases of discovering and “independent re-discovering” of similar results

Existence of solutions in the 2D case dates back to Lichtenstein (Math. Z. 1925) and global-existence has been proved almost independently by Hölder (Math. Z. 1933) and Wolibner Math. (Z. 1933), as can be seen in the editorial note in the first page of Hölder’s paper.)

Anyway, in the first page of Wolibner’s one it is stated that the author has been aware –after the submission of his work– of a paper by Leray (CRAS 1932) where the same idea to solve this problem has been stated.



Velocity-Vorticity

In the history of the Euler equations there are many cases of discovering and “independent re-discovering” of similar results

Existence of solutions in the 2D case dates back to Lichtenstein (Math. Z. 1925) and global-existence has been proved almost independently by Hölder (Math. Z. 1933) and Wolibner Math. (Z. 1933), as can be seen in the editorial note in the first page of Hölder’s paper.)

Anyway, in the first page of Wolibner’s one it is stated that the author has been aware –after the submission of his work– of a paper by Leray (CRAS 1932) where the same idea to solve this problem has been stated.

Further developments base on similar ideas can be found also in A.C. Schaeffer (TAMS 1937) and Kato (ARMA 1967)



Bounded gradients

In order to have bounded ∇u one needs to understand essentially the following problem: what are the hypotheses on \mathcal{F} in order that the solution of

$$-\Delta u = \mathcal{F} \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad u|_{\Gamma} = 0$$

are such that

$$D^2 u \quad \text{are bounded??}$$

Here \mathcal{F} is ξ !!



Bounded gradients

In order to have bounded ∇u one needs to understand essentially the following problem: what are the hypotheses on \mathcal{F} in order that the solution of

$$-\Delta u = \mathcal{F} \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad u|_{\Gamma} = 0$$

are such that

$$D^2u \quad \text{are bounded??}$$

Here \mathcal{F} is ξ !!

Here, clearly $\mathcal{F} = \xi \in L^\infty$ is not enough. Uniqueness in this setting follows in a rather sharp way from Lip-Log estimates and precise behavior of growth of all L^p -norms, proved by Yudovich!!



Bounded gradients

Also $\mathcal{F} = \xi \in C^0$ is not enough, while going to Hölder continuous vorticity has again problems in terms of continuity in such spaces.



Bounded gradients

Also $\mathcal{F} = \xi \in C^0$ is not enough, while going to Hölder continuous vorticity has again problems in terms of continuity in such spaces.

There is a (known) very narrow class of functions with this property, **Dini-continuous**

$$\|f\|_{C_D(\bar{\Omega})} := \|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \int_0^1 \omega(f, \sigma) \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma} < +\infty,$$

where $\omega(f, \sigma)$ is the *modulus of continuity* of f , defined as follows

$$\omega(f, \sigma) = \sup_{0 < |x-y| < \sigma, x, y \in \Omega} |f(x) - f(y)|.$$

Introduced by Ulisse Dini for trigonometric series (Italian notes Nistri, 1880), while its application to PDEs appeared first in (Acta Math. 1902), which is taken from a letter of Dini to Mittag-Leffler.



(Dini)-Continuous vorticity

These space are of interest for the following reason. If $\xi \in L^\infty$, then streamlines are well-defined

$$\frac{d}{ds} U(s, t, x) = u(s, U(s, t, x)) \quad U(t, t, x) = x, \quad (3)$$

and vorticity is given by

$$\xi(t, x) = \xi_0(U(0, t, x)) + \int_0^t \phi(U(s, t, x)) ds.$$



(Dini)-Continuous vorticity

These space are of interest for the following reason. If $\xi \in L^\infty$, then streamlines are well-defined

$$\frac{d}{ds} U(s, t, x) = u(s, U(s, t, x)) \quad U(t, t, x) = x, \quad (3)$$

and vorticity is given by

$$\xi(t, x) = \xi_0(U(0, t, x)) + \int_0^t \phi(U(s, t, x)) ds.$$

In the case of dissipative Euler one has to make a change of variables in time, but representation formulas are essentially the same.



(Dini)-Continuous vorticity

The main point is that

- 1 if $\xi_0, \phi \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ then $\xi \in C([0, T]; C(\overline{\Omega}))$;
- 2 if $\xi_0, \phi \in C_D(\overline{\Omega})$ then $\xi \in C([0, T]; C_D(\overline{\Omega}))$.



(Dini)-Continuous vorticity

The main point is that

- 1 if $\xi_0, \phi \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ then $\xi \in C([0, T]; C(\overline{\Omega}))$;
- 2 if $\xi_0, \phi \in C_D(\overline{\Omega})$ then $\xi \in C([0, T]; C_D(\overline{\Omega}))$.

Again this is not new, since it has been first observed by Beirão da Veiga (JDE 1984) and then it has been independently used by H. Koch (Math. Ann. 2002).



(Dini)-Continuous vorticity

In the first paper it is proved that one can construct unique strong solutions, with continuous data dependence in the space

$$E(\Omega) = \left\{ u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2 : \nabla \cdot u = 0, (u \cdot n)|_{\Gamma} = 0, \text{curl } u \in C(\overline{\Omega}) \right\}$$

and also construct unique classical solutions in the space

$$F(\Omega) = \left\{ u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2 : \nabla \cdot u = 0, (u \cdot n)|_{\Gamma} = 0, \text{curl } u \in C_D(\overline{\Omega}) \right\}$$

The proof is based on representation formulas with suitable applications of Ascoli-Arzelà compactness theorem.



(Dini)-Continuous vorticity

In the second paper (where stability is the main point) it is observed that the Dini-norm is growing only exponentially (no damping).

This can be then balanced by a damping term which implies exponential decay.



(Dini)-Continuous vorticity

In the second paper (where stability is the main point) it is observed that the Dini-norm is growing only exponentially (no damping).

This can be then balanced by a damping term which implies exponential decay.

This seems among the sharpest norms having this property. Maybe this can happen also for some Besov spaces as in Vishik (ARMA 1998), but for the moment I am not considering this.



Attractor in the phase space

The first results is the following:

Theorem: (B. 2012) Let be given f such that $\phi = \operatorname{curl} f \in C_D(\overline{\Omega})$. Then, there exists $\chi_0 = \chi_0(\|f\|_{C_D}) > 0$ such that for all $\chi > \chi_0$ there exists a global attractor $\mathcal{A} \subset E(\Omega)$ for the dissipative 2D Euler equations (1).



Attractor in the phase space

The first results is the following:

Theorem: (B. 2012) Let be given f such that $\phi = \text{curl } f \in C_D(\overline{\Omega})$. Then, there exists $\chi_0 = \chi_0(\|f\|_{C_D}) > 0$ such that for all $\chi > \chi_0$ there exists a global attractor $\mathcal{A} \subset E(\Omega)$ for the dissipative 2D Euler equations (1).

The existence is based on the usual representation

$$\mathcal{A} := \bigcup_{t \geq 0} \overline{\bigcap_{s \geq t} S(s) \mathcal{B}}^X$$

we need an Y -bounded absorbing set \mathcal{B} , a couple of Banach spaces such that Y is compactly embedded in X and that the semigroup is continuous for all $t \geq 0$ as a mapping from Y into itself.

Attractor in the phase space

Two main points are the following lemma

Lemma: Let be given $f \in C_D(\bar{\Omega})$, then f is uniformly continuous and

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \frac{C_D}{\log|x - y|}, \quad \forall x, y \in \bar{\Omega}, \text{ s. t. } |x - y| \leq e.$$



Attractor in the phase space

Two main points are the following lemma

Lemma: Let be given $f \in C_D(\overline{\Omega})$, then f is uniformly continuous and

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \frac{C_D}{\log|x - y|}, \quad \forall x, y \in \overline{\Omega}, \text{ s. t. } |x - y| \leq e.$$

The fact that \mathcal{A} is attracting need some work based on the continuous data dependence



Attractor in the phase space

By adapting standard techniques on Lyapunov exponents one can show that the attractor is finite dimensional.



Attractor in the phase space

By adapting standard techniques on Lyapunov exponents one can show that the attractor is finite dimensional.

The bound for the Hausdorff dimension of the attractor are probably not optimal.



Almost periodic solutions

Long-time behavior has also strict links with almost periodic solutions.



Almost periodic solutions

Long-time behavior has also strict links with almost periodic solutions.

When the force is time-dependent $\text{curl } f \in C(0, +\infty; C_D(\overline{\Omega}))$ one should consider more general concepts of attractors, as the pull-back or similar to those treated in the stochastic context.



Almost periodic solutions

Long-time behavior has also strict links with almost periodic solutions.

When the force is time-dependent $\text{curl } f \in C(0, +\infty; C_D(\overline{\Omega}))$ one should consider more general concepts of attractors, as the pull-back or similar to those treated in the stochastic context.

In a work still in progress we are considering a slightly different approach hence to find **almost periodic** solutions.



Almost periodic solutions

One main difficulty is then showing a sort of contraction principle making possible to use well-established techniques as those in Foias, (Rend. Sem. Mat. Padova 1962), Foias & Prodi (Rend. Sem. Mat. Padova 1967) Amerio & Prouse, (Van Nostrand 1971).



Almost periodic solutions

One main difficulty is then showing a sort of contraction principle making possible to use well-established techniques as those in Foias, (Rend. Sem. Mat. Padova 1962), Foias & Prodi (Rend. Sem. Mat. Padova 1967) Amerio & Prouse, (Van Nostrand 1971).

Again the approach with $\operatorname{curl} f \in S^2(\mathbf{R}; H^1(\Omega))$ or $\operatorname{curl} f \in S^2(\mathbf{R}; W^{1,\infty}(\Omega))$ seems not working since we do not have enough (uniform) control on ∇u , hence control on the convective term.



Almost periodic solutions

One main difficulty is then showing a sort of contraction principle making possible to use well-established techniques as those in Foias, (Rend. Sem. Mat. Padova 1962), Foias & Prodi (Rend. Sem. Mat. Padova 1967) Amerio & Prouse, (Van Nostrand 1971).

Again the approach with $\operatorname{curl} f \in S^2(\mathbf{R}; H^1(\Omega))$ or $\operatorname{curl} f \in S^2(\mathbf{R}; W^{1,\infty}(\Omega))$ seems not working since we do not have enough (uniform) control on ∇u , hence control on the convective term.

We recall that $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow X$ is **Stepanov p -almost periodic** (denoted by $f \in S^p(\mathbf{R}; X)$) if $f \in L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbf{R}; X)$ and if the set of its translates is relatively compact in the $L^p_{\text{uloc}}(\mathbf{R}; X)$ topology defined by the norm

$$\|f\|_{L^p_{\text{uloc}}(\mathbf{R}, X)} := \sup_{t \in \mathbf{R}} \left[\int_t^{t+1} \|f(s)\|_X^p ds \right]^{1/p}$$



Almost periodic solutions

Theorem: (Joint work with L. Bisconti, in preparation) Let be given f such that $\operatorname{curl} f \in C(\mathbf{R}; C_D(\overline{\Omega}))$ and $\operatorname{curl} f \in S^2(\mathbf{R}; C(\overline{\Omega}))$. Then there exists $\chi_0(f)$ such that for all $\chi > \chi_0$ solutions of (1) are almost periodic such that $u \in S^2(\mathbf{R}; L^2(\Omega))$.



Almost periodic solutions

Theorem: (Joint work with L. Bisconti, in preparation) Let be given f such that $\operatorname{curl} f \in C(\mathbf{R}; C_D(\overline{\Omega}))$ and $\operatorname{curl} f \in S^2(\mathbf{R}; C(\overline{\Omega}))$. Then there exists $\chi_0(f)$ such that for all $\chi > \chi_0$ solutions of (1) are almost periodic such that $u \in S^2(\mathbf{R}; L^2(\Omega))$.

Preliminary result probably improvable; the same problem maybe can be handled in the smaller space of Bohr *UAP* classical almost periodic functions.



Thank you for your attention!

